



Morphological Character of Teak Leaves and Their Influence on Teak Defoliator and Skeletonizer

Authors

K. H. Kabade¹ and Z. P. Patel²

¹Department of Entomology, N.M. College of Agriculture, N.A.U., Navsari

²Principal, College of Agriculture, N.A.U., Waghai - 394 730, Gujarat, India

Email: kailash.kabade1003@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In order to study the morphological characters of teak leaves and their influence on teak defoliator and skeletonizer, the study was conducted in the Laboratories of Biotechnology and Agricultural Chemistry of ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat during 2010 and 2011. Result revealed that average leaf length, width and area to the tune of 38.52 cm, 33.79 cm and 459.60 cm², respectively. Leaf colour (dark green) in teak failed to influence leaf damage inflicted by teak defoliator and skeletonizer, significantly.

Key words: Teak, defoliator, skeletonizer, leaf, width, leaf area and leaf colour

INTRODUCTION

Teak (*Tectona grandis* L., Family: Verbenaceae) is the most valuable and undisputed global leader of high quality tropical timbers (Tewari, 1992). It is attacked by 174 species of insects, mostly from order Lepidoptera (86), Coleoptera (50), Hemiptera (20) and Orthoptera (18). These cause damage to root (7), trunk and branches (8), defoliate the tree (136), suck the sap from inflorescence (20) and feed on seed (3). (Beeson, 1941, Nairs *et al.*, 1985 and Mathur, 1990). The insect defoliators on teak comprises Lepidoptera (78), Coleoptera (40) and Orthoptera (18). The most important amongst these are the Lepidopterans *Hyblaea puera* Cramer and *Eutectona machaeralis* Walker: Pyraustidae. These are the most pernicious pests of teak responsible for epidemic defoliation regularly in nurseries, plantations and natural forests of all teak growing areas (Beeson, 1941). The larvae of this insect feed only on the fleshy leaf tissue, leaving only the major veins, thus it affects adversely the growth and vigour, besides causing certain abnormalities, resulting in both qualitative

and quantitative loss in timber production, (Beeson, 1941). Outbreaks occur almost every year in the early flushing period and trees suffer a total defoliation, sometimes there is partial defoliation later in the growth season (Nair, 1988). For the management of this pest, farmers usually resort to spray of synthetic pesticides. This practice of indiscriminate use of pesticides leads to build up of pesticide residues in the produce, destruction of beneficial insects, pest resurgence, pesticide exposure to farm workers and environmental pollution. Host plant resistance (HPR) is the economically sound technique to reduce pest linked damage in teak clone as well as to protect the environment from adverse effects of pesticides. In many cases it is obvious that the morphological characters of the host or combined factors are important in conferring resistance to teak defoliator and skeletonizer. Various morphological characters in teak leave play an important role by providing resistance to the plant. An understanding of different morphological of resistance is essential for developing strategies to breed for resistance to insect pests. Thus present

investigation was undertaken to study the morphological characters of teak leaves and their influence on teak defoliator and skeletonizer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Investigations on morphological characters of teak leaves was carried out by selecting five leaves on each tree at each of the five locations viz., Waghai, Shamgahan, Sarvar, Subir, in Dangs district and NAU farm, Navsari. The sampled leaves thus obtained were brought to the Food Quality Testing Laboratory, N. A. U., Navsari. The observations on leaf colour, leaf length, width and area were recorded.

Correlation

To study the influence of morphological characters on population of teak defoliator and skeletonizer, the simple correlation coefficient was worked out.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The leaf length, leaf width and leaf area content in different teak clone (Table-1) grown at various elevations ranged from 36.14cm at (Waghai) to 41.34 cm (Sarvar), 31.96 cm at (Waghai) to 35.62cm (Navsari) and 428.34 cm² at (Subir) to 452.54 cm² (Navsari). While, the average leaf length, leaf width and leaf area content in teak clones of different location was 38.52cm, 33.79 cm and 459.60 cm². Similarly, the leaf colour ranged from dark green at (Waghai) to light green (Navsari). The average leaf colour in teak clones of different locations was dark green. The results of morphological characters are supported by Kumar (2006) who studied relationship of fifteen mango cultivars to mango hopper and its associated damages wherein morphological characters viz; leaf area, tree height and leaf color were studied in relation to hopper population and its associated damage. However, Hanumantha *et al.* (2001) indicated negligible clonal differences in teak on the basis of leaf area, hairiness and leaf colour. However, they reported significant difference amongst various *Tectona grandis* clones wherein except one; all clones from

Northern provenance did not show splitting and two clones from Southern provenance showed extensive splitting in their fruits. Similarly, Hanover (1980) investigation based on insect-plant interactions revealed that the morphological feature of leaf, physiological conditions of host plant and their interactions are basically responsible for the palatability of leaf eating insects. Variations based on morphological characters have been proved in various agricultural and horticultural crops by earlier workers. In the ongoing discussion, the selected teak clones exhibited great degree of variability based on morphological characters at different locations. So, the current discussion is in accordance with the earlier workers.

Correlation of teak defoliator and skeletonizer with morphological characters

The results based on correlation of per cent leaf damage done by teak defoliator and skeletonizer with morphological characters of teak clones has indicated non significant but positive correlation with leaf length, leaf width, leaf area, while it was non significant but negatively correlated with leaf colour. Thus, it was proved that the morphological characters did not influence the intensity of damage caused by teak defoliator and skeletonizer.

Earlier, Kumar (2006) indicated non-significant relationship of mango hopper incidence and sooty mould with morphological characters of fifteen mango cultivars. However, Senn *et al.*(1992) established positive correlation of leaf morphology and phenology with tree height, leaf size, bud growth pattern in a population of the mountain birch (*Betula pubescens*) and insect. They further added that positive correlations between tree height, leaf size and differences in bud growth patterns indicated that the mountain is genetically related to the dwarf birch, *B. nana*.

Likewise, Ghoghari (2008) studied host plant resistance of sorghum shoot fly in 21 genotypes of sorghum. The correlation between physical characters of plant and shoot fly based on plant

height and number of leaves per plant at 14 DAE had significant negative correlation with oviposition of shoot fly. The relationship of dead heart percentage with number of leaves per plant

at 28 DAE, plant height at maturity and number of leaves at maturity was significant but negatively correlated, whereas it was significant and positively with leaf width at maturity.

Table-1: Status of morphological character at different elevations

| *Morphological Characters | Location | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Waghai | Shamghan | Sarvar | Subir | Navsari | Average |
| Leaf length (cm) | 36.14 | 39.40 | 41.34 | 36.50 | 39.26 | 38.52 |
| Leaf width (cm) | 31.96 | 34.72 | 33.16 | 33.52 | 35.62 | 33.79 |
| Leaf area (cm ²) | 434.58 | 464.09 | 446.48 | 428.34 | 524.54 | 459.60 |
| Leaf colour | Dark green(2) [#] | Dark green(2) | Dark green(2) | Dark green(2) | Light green(1) | Dark green (2) |

*Morphological characters: N=5 tree/ location/ 5 leaves per tree i. e. 25 leaves / location

[#]Figures inside the parentheses are rating of leaf colour

Table-2: Correlation of morphological character of teak clone and per cent damage of teak defoliator and skeletonizer.

| Morphological Characters | Average | [#] Teak defoliator | Correlation Value | [#] Teak skeletonizer | Correlation Value |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | % damage | | % damage | |
| Leaf length (cm) | 38.52 | 43.59 | 0.208 | 46.61 | 0.226 |
| Leaf width (cm) | 33.79 | 43.59 | 0.324 | 46.61 | 0.356 |
| Leaf area (cm ²) | 459.60 | 43.59 | 0.315 | 46.61 | 0.347 |
| Leaf colour | Dark green (2)* | 43.59 | -0.151 | 46.61 | -0.168 |
| Significant at 1% (r=0.399) | | | | | |

[#]Per cent damage: N= 5 tree/ location/5 twigs per tree/ location/5 leaves/ location i.e. 125 leaves/location

*Figures inside the parentheses are rating of leaf colour .

REFERENCES

1. Beeson, C. F. C. (1941). The ecology and control of the forest insects of india and the neighbouring countries. (1961 Reprint) New Delhi, Govt. of India, pp. 767.
2. Ghoghari, P. D. (2008). Studies on biology, chemical control and host plant resistance of Sorghum Shoot Fly, *Atherigona soccata*. Ph. D. Thesis submitted to NAU, Navsari.
3. Hanover, J. W. (1980). Breeding forest tree resistant to insects. *Breeding Plants Resistant to Insects* (Maxwell, F. G. and Jennings, P. R. eds.) John Willey, New York, pp. 487-511.
4. Hanumantha, M.; Gunaga, R. P. and Vasudeva, R. (2001). Variation for fruit parameters among teak (*Tectona grandis* Linn. F) clones. *Journal of Tropical Forestry*, 17(1): 59-63.

5. Kumar, S. (2006). Population dynamics and some manage aspects of mango hopper. Ph. D. Thesis submitted to NAU, Navsari.
6. Mathur, R. N. (1990). Pests of Teak and their control. *Indian For. Res.*, 10 (3): 44-66.
7. Nair, K. S. S., (1988). The teak defoliator in Kerala, India (Alan A. Berryman Ed.) Dynamics of forest insect populations: Pattern, causes, implications: sujata publication house. pp. 268-289.
8. Nair, K. S. S., Sudheendrakumar, V. V., Verma, R. V. and Chacko, K. C. (1985). *Tropical Forest Insect Pests: Ecology, Impact and Management*. Cambridge University press. pp.312-340.
9. Prajapati, V. M. (2011). Morphological and biochemical variations of teak (*Tectona grandis* L.) clone in relation to resistance against leaf defoliator, *Hyblaea puera* Cramer and skeletonizer, *Eutectona machaeralis* Walker. Thesis submitted to Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari.
10. Senn, J.; Hanhimaki, S. and Haukioja, E. (1992). Among tree variation in leaf phenology and morphology and its correlation with insect performance in the Mountain birch. *Oikos*, 63: 215-222.
11. Tewari, D. N. (1992). A monograph on teak (*Tectona grandis* Linn. f) International Book Distributors 9/3, Rajpur Road, Dehra Dun (India) pp 214-215.