

Open access Journal International journal of Emerging Trends in Science and Technology

Comparative Study Of Pre-Planning And Actual Planning Process In Construction Industries

Authors

B.Annalakshmi*, Dr.C.Antony Jeyasehar**, Dr.P.Valli***

Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Chidambaram Email; *b.annambe@gmaill.com*

Abstract: Any project that is going to be involved in construction industry must start with the general planning before engaging with the contractors. Planning is defined as the process of developing the project plan. Planning outlines how the project is to be done by specifying some pre-determined and committed future course of action to achieve its goal. Generally planning is of two types namely Pre-planning and Actual planning. Pre-planning summarizes the detailed information about productivities and work volumes in building construction. Actual planning is any changes in the on-going process due to the above said factors are rectified. This study aims at collection of data regarding Pre- planning and Actual planning. A comparative study is to be done about the failures and drawbacks of the Pre-planning and success of the Actual planning using Microsoft Project.

Keywords: Planning, Pre-planning, Actual-planning, drawbacks.

INTRODUCTION

Construction planning is a fundamental and challenging activity in the management and execution of construction projects. It involves the choice of technology, the definition of work tasks, the estimation of the required resources and durations for individual tasks, and the identification of any interactions and the different work tasks. A good construction planning is the basis for developing the budgeting and the work .Developing schedule for the construction plan is a critical task in the management of construction, even if the plan is not written or otherwise formally recorded. In addition to these technical

aspects of construction planning, it may also be necessary to make organizational decisions about the relationships between projects participants and even which organization to include in a project.

Some projects are primarily divided into expense categories with associated cost in the cases, construction planning is cost or expense oriented. Within the categories of expenditure, a distinction is made between cost incurred directly in the performance of an activity and indirectly for the accomplishment of the project.

1.1 PRE-PLANNING

Pre-planning is about moving as many of the field layout, coordination and planning issues to the front of the project as possible. The pre-planning

process will slightly look different for each company and project type. The goal of preplanning is to build a culture into the company where people seek out opportunities to shift work from the project to a more controlled environment.

1.2 ACTUAL PLANNING

Actual planning is a planning that is been practiced in the working site. The preplanning process is done within the office having discussion among the owner, contractor and other officials involved in the project. The pre-planning of the project is just an overview idea of the project proceeding and processing and it is not the actual one. Therefore the occurrence of the discrepancies is inevitable in the site. It can be due to the late procurement, change in climatic condition, labour problems, financial constraint and so. The changes that is been done in the pre-planning of the project can be said as actual planning. Thus actual planning saves time and cost to the owner. The actual planning eliminates the delays caused due to the changes in the preplanning process.

2. RESEAR CH OBJECTIVE

- To study about pre-planning and actual planning process in construction project.
- To collect data pertaining to preplanning.
- To collect data pertaining to actual planning.
- To prepare schedule for pre-planning and actual planning process in construction project using M.S project.
- To make a comparative study finding out the drawbacks of a pre-planning and actual planning.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Many papers and journals were published regarding the studies of pre-planning and actual planning in construction. This was aimed to compare and study the preplanning and the actual planning process the previous works done based on the preplanning and the actual-planning in the construction.

Syal et al. (1992) described about construction project planning (CPP) in the project development process and delivery. This problem is particularly crucial for small-medium-size building projects and the firms constructing such projects in a design-build environment.

Menches et al. (2005) explained that preco-construction is gaining popularity as one of improving productivity in the construction industry.

Faniran et al. (1998) explained in detail about improving construction planning. It explains about construction planning and situational factors.

Laufer et al. (1999) explained in detail about the project team's decision-making process to project planning.

Faniran et al. (1999) explained in detail about resources for construction planning activities construction planning resource requirements are to be determined on a costeffective and value-adding basis. The research studies have indicated that investing in construction planning.

Hassanein et al. (2004) explained in detail about the planning and scheduling phases of highway construction projects, focusing primarily on the planning aspects. It generates the work breakdown structure (WBS) and precedence network respecting job logic and stores a list of construction operations typically encountered in highway projects.

Ghio et al. (1997) explained about preproject planning in construction projects are commonly based on some type of critical path planning method (CPM).

4. METHODOLOGY

Any project there must be a systematic approach to achieve its aim in an optimal fashion. Similarly a methodology is needed to achieve the objectives of this project.

The methodology includes the collection of data regarding the pre-planning and the actual planning process of the projects from the construction companies and the preparation of the schedule for the preplanning and the actual planning and the comparison of the pre and actual planning project and the detection of the drawbacks and the recommendations to overcome those drawbacks and conclude the best of the planning process using MS project software.

5. COMPARISON OF PRE-PLANNING AND ACTUALPLANNING PROCESS

The pre-plan and the actual-plan of a residential building was collected from Axis foundation Pvt Ltd situated at Chennai and the project was analysed and compared to find out the best among pre-plan and actual plan in the construction process.

The schedule showing the pre-plan and the actual plan of the building construction is shown in the fig 5.1 and 5.2.

The analysis of the collected data suggests that the duration of the actual planning increased from that of the duration of the pre-planning schedule. This increase in the duration had led to the cost-overrun of the project. The factors which influenced the time-overrun of the project were analysed through pilot studies and through the in plant training period. Those factors are listed below.

	10	2.7	Footing Deshuttering	3 days	Mon 10/17/11	Wed 10
natic	11	2.8	Curing	3 days	Mon 10/17/11	Thu 10
	12	2.9	Backfiling and Compacting	3 days	Wed 10/19/11	Fri 10
	13	3	PLINTH BEAM	15 days	Wed 11/9/11	Tue 1
imal	14	3.1	Plinth Beam P.C.C marking	2 days	Wed 11/9/11	Thu 1
mu	15	3.2	Plinth Beam P.C.C Shuttering	2 days	Fri 11/11/11	Mon 1
1 1	16	3.3	Plinth Beam P.C.C	2 days	Tue 11/15/11	Wed 1
eded	17	3.4	Plinth Beam Steel Fabrication Work	3 days	Thu 11/17/11	Mon 1
cucu	18	3.5	Plinth Beam Shuttering Work	1 day	Tue 11/22/11	Tue 1
	19	3.6	Plinth Beam Concreting	2 days	Wed 11/23/11	Thu 1
	20	3.7	Plinth Beam De-shuttering	2 days	Fri 11/25/11	Mon 1
	21	3.8	Curing	1 day	Tue 11/29/11	Tue 1
c	22	- 4	COLUMN	9 days	Wed 11/30/11	Mon 1
n of	23	4.1	Column Steel Fabrication	3 days	Wed 11/30/11	Eri
101	24	4.2	Column Shuttering	1 day	Mon 12/5/11	Mon 1
	25	4.3	Column Concreting	2 days	Tue 12/6/11	Wed 1
the	26	4.4	Column De-shuttering	2 days	Thu 12/8/11	Fri
the		^{NBS} Ţ	Task Name 👻	Duration 🖕	Start 🖕	Finisl
from	27	4.5	Column Curing	1 day	Mon 12/12/11	Mon 12
nom	28	5	E FLOORING WORK	7 days	Tue 12/13/11	Wed 13
	29	5.1	Back filling and Compacting (for inner room ar	3 days	Tue 12/13/11	Thu 12
tha	30	5.2	Flooring P.C.C.	2 days	Fri 12/16/11	Mon 12
the	31	5.3	Curing	2 days	Tue 12/20/11	Wed 12
	32	6	ROOF AND STAIR CASE	14 days	Thu 12/15/11	Tue
n r 0	33	6.1	Roof & Stair case Shuttering	4 days	Thu 12/22/11	Tue 12
pre-	34	6.2	Steel Fabrication Work	4 days	Wed 12/28/11	Mon
1	35	6.3	Concreting Work	2 days	Tue 1/3/12	Wed
tha	36	6.4	Curing	2 days	Thu 1/5/12	Fri
line	37	6.5	De-shuttering	2 days	Thu 12/15/11	Fri 12
	38	7	BRICK WORK	7 days	Thu 1/5/12	Frit
nina	39	7.1	Brick Work complete	7 days	Thu 1/5/12	Fri 1
mme	40	8	PLASTERING WORK	7 days	Mon 1/16/12	Tue
0	41	8.1	Plastering inner areas	3 days	Mon 1/16/12	Wed 1
aalra	42	8.2	Plastering outer areas	3 days	Thu 1/19/12	Mon 1
acks	43	8.3	Putty Work	1 day	Tue 1/24/12	Tue 1
	44	9	PAINTING WORK	5 days	Tue 12/13/11	Mon 12
haga	45	9.1	Painting inner areas	2 days	Tue 12/13/11	Wed
nose	46	9.2	Painting outer areas	3 days	Thu 12/15/11	Fri
	47	10	E FLOORING TILE WORK	5 days	Thu 1/26/12	Wed
41.0	48	10.1	TILE & SKIRTING WORK	5 days	Thu 1/26/12	Wed
the	49	11	PROVIDING AND FIXING OF DOORS AND WIND	2 days	Thu 2/2/12	Fri
	50	11.1	PROVIDING AND FIXING OF DOORS AND WIN	2 days	Thu 2/2/12	Fri
/are						

E FOUNDATION

Fig 5.1 schedule of actual planning

	NBS -	Task Name 🗸	Duration -	Start 🗸	Finish 🗸	Pret 🗸	Cost 🗸
	0	PROJECT 2	80 days	Ved 9/28/11	Thu 1/12/12		₹ 29,842.42
	1	SITE CLEARANCE AND MARKING	2 days	Wed 9/28/11	Thu 9/29/11		ষ 90.00
4	2	E FOUNDATION	24 days	Wed 9/28/11	Mon 10/31/11		₹ 1,131.65
	2.1	Excavation	5 days	Wed 9/28/11	Sat 10/22/11	3	ষ 200.08
	2.2	P.C.C shuttering	1 day	Wed 10/5/11	Wed 10/5/11	5	रु 30.36
	2.3	P.C.C for footing	1 day	Thu 10/6/11	Thu 10/6/11	6	ষ 130.95
	2.4	Footing Steel Fabrication	3 days	Fri 10/7/11	Tue 10/11/11	7	(* 253.89)
	2.5	Footing Shuttering	2 days	Wed 10/12/11	Thu 10/13/11	8	ষ 107.00
	2.6	Footing Concreting	1 day	Fri 10/14/11	Fri 10/14/11	9	रु 603.14
	2.7	Footing Deshuttering	1 day	Mon 10/17/11	Mon 10/17/11	10	ষ 103.50
	2.8	Curing	2 days	Mon 10/17/11	Tue 10/18/11	9	ক 10.00
	2.9	Backfiling and Compacting	4 days	Wed 10/19/11	Mon 10/24/11	12	ষ 200.51
	3	PLINTH BEAM	10 days	Tue 10/25/11	Mon 11/7/11		₹ 574.60
	3.1	Plinth Beam P.C.C marking	1 day	Tue 10/25/11	Tue 10/25/11	13	(\$ 1,140.00)
	3.2	Plinth Beam P.C.C Shuttering	1 day	Wed 10/26/11	Wed 10/26/11	15	रु 37.10
	3.3	Plinth Beam P.C.C	1 day	Thu 10/27/11	Thu 10/27/11	16	ষ 67.70
	3.4	Plinth Beam Steel Fabrication Work	2 days	Fri 10/28/11	Mon 10/31/11	17	रु 570.56
20	3.5	Plinth Beam Shuttering Work	2 days	Tue 11/1/11	Wed 11/2/11	19	रु 451.98
21	3.6	Plinth Beam Concreting	1 day	Thu 11/3/11	Thu 11/3/11	20	461.26
22	3.7	Plinth Beam De-shuttering	1 day	Fri 11/4/11	Fri 11/4/11	21	रु 106.00
23	3.8	Curing	1 day	Thu 11/3/11	Thu 11/3/11	20	* 20.00
24	4	COLUMN	/ days	Fri 11/4/11	Mon 11/14/11		¢ 20,473.14
5	4.1	Column Steel Fabrication	2 days	Fn 11/4/11	Mon 11///11	23	 286.33
26 17	4.2	Column Shuttering	2 days	Fn 11/4/11	Mon 11///11	23	• 19,982.16
	4.3	Column Concreting	1 day	Tue 11/8/11	Tue 11/8/11	20	
	4.4	Column De-snuttering	1 day	Stort	Wed 11/9/11	2/ Dror	 71.10
	4.5	Column Curing	1 day	Wed 11/9/11	Wed 11/9/11	27	ক 10.00
	5	FLOORING WORK	4 days	Thu 11/10/11	Tue 11/15/11		₹ 227.30
	5.1	Back filing and Compacting (for inner room areas	2 days	Thu 11/10/11	Fri 11/11/11	29	ষ 150.00
	5.2	Flooring P.C.C.	1 day	Mon 11/14/11	Mon 11/14/11	31	₹ 57.30
	5.3	Curing	1 day	Tue 11/15/11	Tue 11/15/11	32	रु 20.00
	6	ROOF AND STAIR CASE	11 days	Wed 11/16/11	Wed 11/30/11		₹ 1,808.92
	6.1	Roof & Stair case Shuttering	4 days	Wed 11/16/11	Mon 11/21/11	33	(종 401.56)
	6.2	Steel Fabrication Work	4 days	Tue 11/22/11	Fri 11/25/11	35	रु 812.08
	6.3	Concreting Work	1 day	Mon 11/28/11	Mon 11/28/11	36	₹ 1,134.18
	6.4	Curing	1 day	Tue 11/29/11	Tue 11/29/11	37	₹ 25.00
	6.5	De-shuttering	1 day	Thu 12/15/11	Thu 12/15/11	38	ক 239.22
	7	BRICK WORK	6 days	Tue 11/29/11	Tue 12/6/11		₹ 3,100.48
	7.1	Brick Work complete	6 days	Tue 11/29/11	Tue 12/6/11	37	ক 3,100.48
	8	PLASTERING WORK	4 days	Wed 12/7/11	Mon 12/12/11		₹ 269.79
	8.1	Plastering inner areas	2 days	Wed 12/7/11	Thu 12/8/11	41	₹ 94.23
	8.2	Plastering outer areas	1 day	Fri 12/9/11	Fri 12/9/11	43	₹ 94.23
	8.3	Putty Work	1 day	Fri 12/9/11	Fri 12/9/11	43	₹ 81.33
46	9	PAINTING WORK	5 days	Tue 12/13/11	Mon 12/19/11		₹ 267.63
	9,1	Painting inner areas	2 days	Tue 12/13/11	Thu 12/15/11	45	হ 106.69
8 .	92	Painting outer areas	2 dava	Thu 12/15/11	Fri 12/16/11	47	₹ 160.94
9	10	E FLOORING TILE WORK	5 davs	Fri 12/16/11	Thu 12/22/11		¥ 108.59
0	10 1	TILE & SKIRTING WORK	5 dave	Fri 12/16/11	Thu 12/22/11	47	₹ 108.59
	11	PROVIDING AND FIXING OF DOORS AND WINDOW	2 dave	Mon 12/19/11	Tue 12/20/11		₹ 1,790.32
2	11.1	PROVIDING AND FIXING OF DOORS AND WINDO	2 days	Mon 12/19/11	Tue 12/20/11	48	₹ 1,790.32

Fig 5.2 Schedule of the pre-planning

Wed 9/28/11

1/3/12 24

1/2/12 33 1/4/12 34 1/6/12 35

/13/12 35
/24/12 38
/18/12 39
/23/12 41
/24/12 41

19/11 40

- Possessive decision-making mechanism,
- Highly bureaucratic organization,
- Insufficient data collection and survey before design,
- Site's topography is changed after design
- Lack of coordination at design phase
- Inadequate review
- Improper inspection approach
- Different attitude between the consultant and contractor
- Finance
- Inexperienced personnel
- Insufficient number of staffs
- Deficiency in project coordination
- Often changing Sub-contractors Company
- Inadequate, and old equipment
- Lack of high-technology equipment
- Harvest time

These factors majorly influence the timeoverrun and the cost overrun of the project.

6. CONCLUSION

From the analysis of the pre plan and the actual plan of the project, it is concluded that the actual planning which is done in field based on the availability of the resources and climatic conditions looked best when compared to the pre-planning of the project which is done in the office. By implementing the actual planning process the times overrun and the cost overrun factors in the construction can be possibility reduced and thus the project can be made successful.

REFERENCES

[1] Faniran, O., Oluwoye, J., and Lenard,
D. (1998). "Interactions between
Construction Planning and Influence
Factors." J. Constr. Eng.
Manage., 124Vol.,(4), PP 245–256.

[2] Faniran, O., Love, P., and Li, H. (1999). "Optimal Allocation of Construction planning Resources." J. Constr. Eng. Manage., 125 Vol., (5), PP 311–319.

[3] Ghio, V.A., Valle, E., & Rischmoller, L. (1997). "Pre-planning: A rewarding experience". Retrieved October 11, 2002

[4] Hassanein, A. and Moselhi, O. (2004). "Planning and Scheduling Highway Construction." J. Constr. Eng. Manage., Issue 130, Vol., (5), PP 638–646.

[5] Laufer, A., Woodward, H., and Howell, G. (1999). "Managing the Decision-Making Process during Project Planning." J. Manage. Eng., Issue 15, Vol.,(2),PP 79–84.

[6] Menches, C., Hanna, A., and Russell, J. (2005) Effect of Pre-Construction Planning on Project Outcomes. Construction Research Congress 2005: pp. 1-10. Doi: 10.1061/40754(183)76

[7] Syal, M., Grobler, F., Willenbrock, J., and Parfitt, M. (1992). "Construction Project Planning Process Model for Small-Medium Builders." J. Constr. Eng. Manage., Issue 118Vol.,(4), PP 651–666.

