

Open access Journal International Journal of Emerging Trends in Science and Technology IC Value: 76.89 (Index Copernicus) Impact Factor: 2.838 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/ijetst/v3i12.05

A Review of the Genus *Pseudoproleptus* (Nematoda: Physalopteridae) with Description of New Species Pseudoproleptusbhartii sp. nov.from Fresh Water Fish Notopterus (Pallas)

Author

Dr Sadhana Gupta

Assistant Professor, Indira Gandhi Govt. P.G. College, Bangarmau, Unnao, UP Email: sadhanagupta076@gmail.com, Contact No. 9807414555

Abstract

Nematodes are the most specifically diversified group next to insect. To get acknowledge of role of nematodes in biodiversity a sound knowledge and understanding of its taxonomy together with details of its biology is essential. Because of difficulties in studying fish nematodes, associated with their morphological and biological peculiarities, most species of these parasites are poorly known The present study is one step ahead to disclose the existence of new species of Pseudoproleptus collected from fresh water fish Notopterus notopterus (Pallas) from U.P. (India) characterised due to the presence of cephalic cuticular helmet like structure, described as a cuticular fold or collar is distinguish itself from its conspecies on the basis of position of caudal papillae (4 pairs preanal, one pair adanal and 4 pairs postanal), absence of transverse cuticularisation in left spicule and spicule length ratio 1:4.2. **Keywords:** *Biosystematics, Nematode, Pseudoproleptus, Physalopteridae Taxonomy.*

1. Introduction

Body elongated, cylindrical tapering towards posterior extremity. Mouth bounded by two lateral lips each bearing a tooth and submedian papillae are present. Anterior end encircled by "cuticular fold" or "cuticular cephalic collar". Mouth followed by a distinct long cylindrical vestibule. Oesophagus long divided anteriorly as muscular oesophagus and posteriorly glandular as oesophagus. Cuticle thick, transversely striated in some regions. Cuticular striations are 0.03-0.05 apart in male and 0.04-0.06 apart in female.

2. Material and Method

Fishes procured for the present investigation were mostly collected from fishing sites caught by nets. Fishes were examined for parasitic infection. The recovered nematodes washed in physiological saline and then fixed in hot 4% formaldehyde solution. For light microscopical examination, the nematodes were cleared with glycerine. Drawings

were made with the aid of camera lucida. All the measurements are in millimetres. The specimen has been deposited to co-ordinator of All India Project on Taxonomy (AICOPTAX) sponsored by Ministry of Environment and Forest, Govt. of India, New Delhi.

Description of male

Body 6.42-7.68 long, 0.18-0.22 wide. Head 0.07-0.08 in diameter. Vestibule 0.06- 0.08 long, 0.01-0.02 wide. Anterior muscular oesophagus 0.18-0.21 long, 0.04-0.06 wide. Posterior glandular oesophagus 1.32-1.39 in length, 0.08-0.10 in width. Entire oesophagus 1.50-1.60 long. Nerve ring at 0.13-0.19 and excretory pore 0.27-0.31 from anterior end. Spicules unequal, left long, tubular 0.42-0.44 long, right small 0.10- 0.11 long. Caudal alae well developed extending upto the tip of tail, surrounded by nine pairs of pedunculated caudal papillae of which four pairs are preanal, one pair adanal and four pairs postanal. Tail ventrally curved 0.19-0.21 long.

Description of Female

Body 9.89-11.46 long, 0.32-0.41 wide near the middle of body. Head 0.11-0.12 in diameter. Vestibule 0.08-0.09 long and 0.01-0.015 wide. Muscular oesophagus 0.21-0.28 long and 0.04-0.06 wide. Entire oesophagus 1.21-1.39 long. Nerve ring 0.18-0.19 and excretory pore 0.35-0.41 from anterior end. Vulva post equatorial 6.89-8.23 from anterior end. Tail 0.11-0.18 long abruptly narrow towards middle and ending in a short blunt knob like or digitate process with a spine at the end. Eggs 0.03-0.04 long, 0.02-0.03 wide.

Host -*Notopterusnotopterus* (Pallas)

Location - Small intesine

Locality - Lucknow

Prevalence - 13 male and 22 female specimens from 25 hosts out of 206 examined.

PLATE-1 Fig. 1-6

- 1. Anterior end of Body. Lateral view.
- 2. Posterior end of male. Ventral view
- 3. Vulvar region. Lateral view
- 4. Posterior end of female. Lateral view
- 5. Eggs.
- 6. End on view.



3. Discussion and Results

The genus *Pseudoproleptus* was erected by Khera (1953) for the reception of a new species *Pseudoproleptus vestibulus* recovered from a fresh water fish *Mastacembelusarmatus* (Lacep.) from Lucknow, India. The genus is characterised by the presence of mouth bounded by two simple lateral lips each with two sub median papillae, a single truncated tooth, anterior end surrounded by cuticular cephalic collar described by Khera as " a circular fold or collar of cuticle, which may be turned back like an umbrella or forward so as to surround the anterior end like a cup and presence of prominent vestibule (= stoma, buccal cavity or pharynx)

Karve and Naik (1951) described a new nematode *Metabronemanotopteri* recovered from the stomach of the Indian fresh water fish *Notopterusnotopterus* (Pallas) described its anterior end as "the cuticle in the head region in almost all specimens of both sexes. On account of this reflected cuticle the anterior end appeared to be fitted with a cap. In an end on view this cuticle appears like a girdle at the base of lips. In few specimens the cuticle is not reflected back but is directed forward and forms a funnel shaped cover surrounding the two lips.

Karve and Naik (1951) wrongly kept his specimens under the genus Metabronema Yorke and Maplestone, 1926 because the characters for differentiating the genera are not consistent. Their findings were overlooked by Khera, 1953. It appears that except papillae number, divided oesophagus and presence of caudal alae, all the descriptions of Metabronemanotopteri Karve and Naik (1951) are similar to Pseudoproleptus vestibulus Khera (1953) as opined by Soota (1983) and Sahay and Prasad (1993). On account of this similarity, Margolis (1975) in his noteworthy review considered Pseudoproleptus and Metabronema as congeneric and transferred Metabronemanotopteri Karve and Naik,1951 to Pseudoproleptusnotopteri (=Metabronemanotopteri Karve and Naik, 1951) valid. Soota (1983) gave a key to the species of the genus

2016

Pseudoproleptus and held Pseudoproleptusnotopteri (=Metabronemanotopteri karve and Naik,1951) and Pseudoproleptusvestibulus khera (1953) valid. The author also agrees with Margolis (1975).

Chakarvarty and Majumdar (1962) created another new genus Notopteroides to accommodate Notopteroidesnotopteri recovered from the fish Notopterusnotopterusfrom Kolkata, India. These specimens were described only on the basis of female worms and were placed under the Acuariinae Raillet. Henery subfamily and Sisof,1912 as they showed two simple lateral lips, cylindrical pharynx, divided oesophagus, a short vagina and no lateral cuticular flanges. They in their observations presumably accepted the helmet like structure (Collar of Khera) at the most anterior end homologous with the cordons or epaulets, encouraged by the view of Baylis, 1926.

Johnson and khera (1966) and Sahay (1966) considered Notopteroidesnotopteri a synonym of *Pseudoproleptus*. Sahay and Prasad (1993) considered that the homology of helmet like structure and cordons of Baylis is questionable unless embryological testimony of these structures are confirmed along with their biochemical studies.

The author agrees with Johnson and Khera (1966) and Sahay (1966) in considering Notopteroidesnotopteri a synonym of Pseudoproleptus. Author further agrees in considering the homology of helmet like structure is questionable as suggested by Sahay and Prasad (1993).

Majumdar (1965) added Notopteroidesalatae recovered from a fresh water fish Mastacembelusarmatus (Lacep) from Kolkata. Johnson and Khera (1966) having placed Notopteroidesin synonymy with Pseudoproleptus transferred Notopteroides to the genus as Pseudoproleptus (new comb. Pseudoproleptusalatae) Majumdar, 1965. Apparently unware of Johnson and Khera's paper (1966) Sahay et al. (1970) transferred Notopteroidesalatae *Pseudoproleptus* to as Pseudoproleptusalatae. However later in their paper, in violation of the rules of Zoological nomenclature, al. Sahay et renamed Pseudoproleptusarmati. Singh (1970) whose paper preceded Sahay et.al.in the same journal this species issue also used the name *P. alatae* for this species.

Margolis (1975) in his review, referred the characteristic features of P. alatus as absence of spicules, presence of only three pairs of preanal papillae in the male, the presence of cervical alae and condition of viviparity. Present author is also in agreement with Margolis to consider *P. alatus* as an independent species because the genus Notopteroides under which Majumdar originally described his species has oviparous forms and spicules were present, opined also by Gupta and Massodi (1986).

Sahay (1966) added another species Pseudoproleptussatendri from Notopterusnotopterus in which he observed collar, vestibule and oesophagus divided into two distinct parts, possession of cervical and caudal alae. The name *P. satendri* has been emended by Margolis (1975) as P. satendrai because "satendri" is an incorrect latinization in accordance with the International Code of Nomenclature Zoological (Article-31 and Appendix-D-III). Johnson and Khera (1966) did not include *P. satendrai* in the key proposed by them possibly on account of the clash of the publication year.

Singh (1970) reported Pseudoproleptussatendrai from a new host Notopteruschitala (Ham.) from Ranchi lake. Singh pointed out certain differences from the original species of Pseudoproleptussatendrai such as the length of the glandular oesophagus in both sexes, position of vulva, length of the tail in the female, ratio of the spicules, size of the eggs and arrangement of caudal papillae in male. Margolis (1975) interpreted that very slight differences exist between the two accounts of *P. satendrai* and it is even closer to the other nominal Indian species of Pseudoproleptus except P. alatus.

The occurrence of *Pseudoproleptus* outside India has been documented by various authors namely Le-van Hoa and Lien- Huong (1969) and Khalil

2016

(1973). Le-van Hoa and Lien-Huong described Pseudoproleptuslamyi from Notopteruschitala (Ham.) in Viet-Nam differentiating it from P. vestibules Khera(1953), P. notopteri Chakarvarty and Majumdar (1962) and P. alatusmajumdar (1965). They did not include Metabronemanot-(Karve and Naik, 1951) optrii and Pseudoproleptussatendrai Sahay (1966) in their comparisions. Margolis (1975) considered P. lamyi similar to all nominal Indian species of the genus except Pseudoproleptusalatus in having longer oesophagus, particularly the glandular region. The other unique character that Margolis observed was the existence of a pair of cuticular teeth like structure on each of the mouth in P. lamyi. The larger size, arrangement of caudal papillae on male tail, the size and shape of the spicules, larger sized eggs distinguish it from all the species described but Margolis did not agree with all of the distinguishing characters. Khalil (1973) described Pseudoproleptu safricanus from Mormyrops sp. Zaire.

Gupta and Bakshi (1984) added two more species to the existing list namely *Pseudoproleptusgomtii* recovered from *Notopterusnotopterus* (Pallas) from Lucknow and *Pseudoproleptus macrognathus* from *Macrognathusacculeatum* (Lacep) from Dehradun. They considered *P. satendrai* Sahay (1966) to be synonym of *P. notopteri* Chakarvarty and Majumdar (1962).

Masoodi Gupta and (1986)added Pseudoproleptus sprenti from Notopteruschitala from Chilkalake, Puri, Odisha, India. Gupta and Jaiswal (1988) while describing two additional species viz. Pseudoproleptus mastacembeli and Pseudoproleptus fotedari from the intestine of Mastacembelusarmatus (Lacep.) and Xenentodoncancila (Ham.) respectively from Lucknow, gave key to all the valid species except P. satendrai and P. africanus. Gupta and Naiver (1992) added P. thapari from Notopterusnotopterus (Pallas) from Lucknow.

Margolis (1975) in his review did not agree with the synonymization of *Pseudoproleptus* and *Collarinematriglae* described by Sey (1970) from

a marine fish Triglalyra and the transference of Metabronemanotopteri Karve and Naik, 1951 to Collarinema as Collarinemanotopteri. Instead he preferred to keep Metabronemanotopteri under Pseudoproleptusand doubtful in the possibility of Collarinema with Pseudoproleptus and it will pend until comparison of an enface preparation of the type species of these two genera can be made. Gupta and Masoodi (1986) opposed Margolis view and considered Collarinemato be identical with *Pseudoproleptus* and assigned *C. triglae* as P. triglae on account of the similarity of cephalic region. Present author is in agreement with Margolis in leaving the synonymy until the original or type species will be studied thoroughly.

Further Margolis agreed with the synonymy of Ascarophiscollar is Petter, 1973 with Collarinema. If Collarinema loses its independent status in favour of Pseudoproleptus then A. collaris would become a member of the later genus but refrained to remove A. collaris from Ascarophis until relationship of *Pseudoproleptus* and Collarinema is clarified. The present author agrees with Gupta and Masoodi (1986) in with holding the view of synonymy of Collarinema and the original *Ascarophis*until literature on Ascarophis will be available to the author.

Certain larval *Pseudoproleptus* have been described by Maravec and Santos (2008). Moravec, Pachanawan and Kamcho (2016) redescribed *Pseudoproleptusnotopteri* (Karve and Naik,1951) from Notopterusnotopterus (Pallas) (Notoptridae: Osteoglossiformes) and added detailed structure of the cephalic end, the presence of bifurcate deirids and a ventral median caudal protuberance in male.

Present author has also added a new species under the genus *Pseudoproleptus* that is *Pseudoproleptusbhartii* differentiated from all the species of the genus on the basis of position of caudal papillae, absence of transverse cuticularisation in left spicule and spicule length ratio 1:4.2. The present specimen differs from all species of the genus *Pseudoproleptus* Khera, 1955 except *P. sprenti* in having a spine on female tail. It further differs from *P. sprenti* in having four pairs preanal caudal papillae , one pair adanal and four pairs postanal papillae instead of four pairs preanal and five pairs postanal, absence of transverse cuticularisation in left spicule instead of its presence and further differs in possessing spicule length ratio 1:4.2 instead of 1: 2.8.

Considering all these differences it is justified to erect a new species with a specific name *Pseudoproleptusbhartii* sp. nov.

4. Acknowledgement

Author is thankful to Ministry of Environment and Forest for providing Financial assistance and supervisor Prof. Vinod Gupta for guidance and support to complete the research work.

References

- Chabaud,A.G. 1974. Class Nematoda: Key to subclasses, orders and superfamilies. In CIH keys to the nematode parasites of vertebrates, Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal, U.K.,6-17.
- De,N.C. 1987. Surface morphology of *Pseudoproleptusnotopteri*: Ascanning electron microscope study. *Folia Parasitologica* 34:379-381.
- Gupta, P.C. and Masoodi, B.A. 1986. *Pseudoproleptussprenti* sp. n. (Nematoda: Cystidicolidae) from a Brackish water fish *Notopteruschitala*(Pallas) from Chilka lake, Puri, Orissa, *India. Proc. Parasitol.* 2:18-23.
- Gupta, V. and Bakshi, R. 1984. Nematode parasites of fishes –II. On two new species of the genus *Pseudoproleptus*Khera, 1953 (Spiruridae, Physalopteridae) from fresh water fishes of India. *Acta. Parasitol. Polonica*. 29:203-209.
- 5. Johonson, S. and Khera, S. 1966. Observations on the taxonomy of the genus *Pseudoproleptus* khera,1954 and

Notopteroides Chakarvarty and Majumdar 1962, (Spiruroidea:Nematoda). Indian J.Helminthol. 18:148-150

- Karve, J. N. and Naik, G.G. 1951. Some parasitic nematodes of fishes-III J. Univ. Bombay, Sec. B. *Biol. Sci.* 101(3): 9-42.
- Khera, S. 1953. *Pseudoproleptusvestibulus* n. g. n. sp. (Subfamily: Physalopteridae Raillet, 1983: PhysalopteridaeLeiper, 1908) *Indian J.Helminthol.* 5: 115-120.
- 8. Khalil, L.F.1973. Some helminth parasites from African fresh water fishes with the description of two new species. *Revue de ZoologieetZoologie et de BotaaniqueAfricaine*. 87(4): 795-807.
- 9. Le- Van-Hoa, and Bui-Thi Lien-Huong.1969. Description de Pseudoproleptuslamyi n. sp., nematode parasite des poisons, Notopteruschitala H.B. due Sud Viet-Nam. *Bulletin de laSociete de PathologieExotique* 62:1106-1111
- Majumdar,N. 1965. Anew nematode Notopteroidesalatae (Filarioidea: Spiruroidae) from fresh water fishes in India. Bull. Syst. Zool. 1:35-37.
- Margolis, L. 1975. Review of *Pseudoproleptus*Khera, 1953 (Nematoda: Spiruroidae) from fresh water fishes of Southern Asia and Africa with notes on related species. Dr. B.S. Chauhan Comm. Vol. *Zool. Soc. India*. 21-31.
- 12. Moravec, F. 2007. Some aspects of the taxonomy and biology of adult spirurine nematodes parasisitic in fishes: A review. *Fol. Parasitol.* 54: 239-257.
- Moravec, F. and Santos C.P. 2009. Larval Pseudoproleptus sp. (Nematoda: cysticlidae) found in the Amazon river prawn Macrobrachiumamazonicum (Decapoda :Palaemonidae) in Brazil. *J.Parasitol.* 95: 634-638.
- 14. Moravec, F.,Pachanawan, A. and Kamcho,K. 2016: Redescription of two species of Cystidicolid nematodes

IJETST- Vol.||03||Issue||12||Pages 4827-4832||December||ISSN 2348-9480 2016

(Spirurina:Cystidicolidae) from Notopterusnotopterus (Osteichthyes) in Thailand. *ActaParasitol.* 61(2): 278-290.

- 15. Petter, A.J. 1970. Uneespece nouvelle d'Ascarophis (Nematoda, Cystidicolinae) parasite de Phycisblennioides (Brunnich) gadide. RevuedesTravaux de I'Institut des Pesches Maritimes 34:221-224.
- 16. Sey, O. 1970. Collarinematriglae gen. et sp. nov. (Nematoda: Rhabdochonidae) from the red gurnard (Triglalyra L.) in the Adriatic Sea, Yougoslavia. Acta Zoologica AcademiaeScientiariumHungaricae16:209 -214.
- 17. Sahay, U. 1966. On a nematode of the *Pseudoproleptus* genus Khera, 1953 (Subfamily Physalpteridae Raillet, 1983: PhysalopteridaeLeiper, 1908 Family Nematoda) from a fresh water fish, Notopterusnotopterus (Pallas) with a discussion on the validity of the genus Notopteroides Chakarvarty et. al., 1962 and amendation of the genus Pseudoproleptus.Indian J. Helminthol. 18 (Seminar suppl.):77-82.
- 18. Sahay, U. and Prasad, D. 1993 . Some comments on the genus *Pseudoproleptus* khera, 1953 alongwith a revised key to the species *.Bioved* 4(1): 105-110.
- 19. Sahay, U.,Sinha, A. and Sadhu, T. K. 1970. A discussion on the validity of the species *Notopteroidesalatae*Majumdar, 1965. *Indian J. Sci. Incl.* (B. ani. Sci.) 4:51:52
- 20. Sood, M. L. 1968. Some nematode parasites from fresh water fishes of India. *Indian J. Helminthol.* 29(2). 83-110.
- Soota, T.D. 1983. Studies on nematode parasites of Indian Vertebrates I. Fishes. *Rec. Zool. Surv. India*. Occ. Paper No. 54.
- 22. Yamaguti, S. 1961. Systemahelminthum. The nematodes of vertebrates. Parts I and II. Interscience Publ. Inc.,new York and London. 1-1261pp.

23. Yorke, W. and Maplestone, P.A. 1926. The nematode of vertebrates. Reproduced in 1962. London J. and A. Churchill: 536pp.