http://jmscr.igmpublication.org/home/ ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v9i6.41



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research An Official Publication Of IGM Publication

## Spinal Anaesthesia Using Taylors Lumbosacral Approach to Reduce the Incidence and Severity of Haemodynamic Side Effects in Patients Undergoing Transurethral Resection of Prostate

Authors

Dr Kamini Randhawa<sup>1</sup>, Dr Shweta Mahajan<sup>2</sup>, Dr Arti Sharma<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Junior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla <sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla

#### Abstract

Hemodynamic side effect like bradycardia and hypotension are the most common undesired side effects during spinal anaesthesia. In1940 Taylor described a SPA technique by a lumbosacral approach which caused less haemodynamic disturbances. The purpose of the present study was to compare the incidence and severity of haemodynamic side effects between a lumbar approach at L4/5 or Taylor's lumbosacral approach. 30 patients received SPA by a L4/5 approach and 30 patients by Taylor's approach. Bupivacaine dose did not differ between groups. Onset of MLSB was faster (3.17+1.020 min vs 7.20+1.215, p < 0.001) in the lumbar group. Onset of motor block was faster (5.47+1.358 min vs 8.40+0.770) in lumbar group but duration of motor block did not differ between groups. The maximum decrease in heart rate was greater in lumbar group (27% vs 7%, p value 0.019). The maximum decrease in mean arterial pressure was also greater in the lumbar group (60% vs. 70%, p value 0.037). SPA with plain bupivacaine using Taylor's approach was associated with slower onset of MLSB and less haemodynamic disturbances compared to the classical lumbar approach at L4/5.

#### Introduction

Transuretheral resection of prostrate (TURP) is the gold standard surgical intervention for patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), which causes urinary obstruction and increases the risk of urinary tract infection<sup>1</sup>. The indications for TURP include many reasons like obstructive uropathy, bladder calculi, recurrent episode of urinary retention, urinary tract infection and haematuria<sup>2</sup>. TURP can be performed under spinal anaesthesia or general anaesthesia , however the choice of anaesthetic technique for TURP is spinal anaesthesia as it offers many advantages over general anaesthesia including stable haemodynamic variables, decrease blood loss and post operative pain, less chances of deep venous thrombosis after surgery, decrease post-operative faster recovery $^{1,3}$ . confusion and Spinal performed through midline, anaesthesia is paramedian or Taylors lumbosacral approach.<sup>4</sup> Midline approach of spinal anaesthesia is the most commonly used technique but it has got limitations in patients who cannot flex adequately because of pain and ossified ligaments in old age. In these patients paramedian approach is very useful<sup>5</sup>. In 1940 Taylor described modified

# JMSCR Vol||09||Issue||06||Page 221-224||June

# 2021

paramedian approach (Taylor or lumbosacral approach) via  $L_5$ -S<sub>1</sub> space which causes less hypotension<sup>6</sup>. In 2006 Litz et al<sup>6</sup> described spinal anaesthesia using Taylor lumbosacral approach incidence and severity reducing the of hemodynamic side effect in patient undergoing transuretheral surgery. Kumkum Gupta et al<sup>8</sup> in 2011 studied subarachnoid block with Taylors approach for surgery of lower half of the body and lower limb. Subarachnoid anaesthesia provided excellent operating condition with fewer side effect but patient with spinal deformity had technical difficulty to achieve successful block. Taylors approach provide reliable and less traumatic alternative to conventional spinal anaesthesia in patient with deformed spine. Considering all these merits Taylor of lumbosacral approach of spinal anaesthesia, the aim of our study was to compare the incidence and severity of hemodynamic side effect between approach at L3-L4 and lumbar **Taylors** lumbosacral approach at L5-S1 interspace in patient undergoing TURP.

### **Aims and Objectives**

To compare the incidence and severity of hypotension between a lumbar approach at  $L_3$ -  $L_4$  and Taylor's lumbosacral approach at  $L_5$ -  $S_1$  interspace in patient undergoing TURP.

### **Material and Methods**

After approval by the research and ethical committee and written informed consent of the patient, the study was carried out in ASA I and ASA II patients, aged between 30 -70 years, posted for TURP at IGMC, Shimla. The study was conducted in controlled prospective randomized manner from July 2018 to July 2019. The patients were assigned to their respective groups using random allocation software. ASA I and ASA II ,diagnosed case of BPH, male patient aged between 30-70 years Scheduled for TURP were included in study. Patients refusal to participate in the study, ASA class III and above. hypersensitivity to local anaesthetic,

contraindication to spinal anaesthesia and patients on anticoagulant and antiplatelet drugs were excluded from study. Patients were divided into two groups Group C & Group T using random allocation software with 30 patients in each group receive conventional approach and Taylors lumbosacral approach of spinal anaesthesia with 3 ml of bupivacaine 0.5% hyperbaric solution respectively. All patients were preoperatively evaluated clinically, with routine investigations. Informed written consent to be taken from all patient included in the study. The patients were kept fasting for 8-10 hrs overnight and premedicated with tablet alprazolam 0.50 mg per orally night before surgery and 3 hour prior to surgery with sip of water. Patient was shifted to operation theatre, Intravenous access was secured and IV fluid started. Patients was monitored for heart rate (H.R), lead II electrocardiography (ECG), pulse oximetry (Spo2) and non invasive blood pressure (NIBP) every 5 min during surgery .The patient was positioned in sitting position. After cleaning and draping, the allocated interspace was identified by palpation. Then 2ml of 2% plain lignocaine was infiltrated on the skin. The lumbar puncture was performed with 26 gauze spinal needle in the sitting position using midline approach at  $L_3$ -  $L_4$  interspace for C group and  $L_{5}$ -  $S_1$  interspace by using Taylors approach for T group. After identification of needle placement by free flow of cerebrospinal fluid, the subarachnoid block was established bv administrating 3 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine hyperbaric solution and patient was turned to the supine position and left in supine position for 10 minute. Onset of sensory block was assessed from the time of injecting drug into subarachnoid space till complete analgesia at the level of T-10 was achieved. Maximum Level of sensory block was checked bilaterally by pin prick method with 23 gauge hypodermic blunt needle and dermatomal level was tested every 2 min until the highest level was stabilized for four consecutive tests. The onset of motor block was assessed every 2 minutes till complete motor block was achieved as

# JMSCR Vol||09||Issue||06||Page 221-224||June

per Modified Bromage Scale. The duration of motor block was taken as the time from complete motor block (Modified Bromage 1) to time when lower limb can be moved freely (Modified Bromage 6). Hypotension (mean blood pressure recording less than 20 % of baseline) if any patient were treated with help of intravenous fluid bolus and incremental doses of vasopressor agent mephentermine 6 mg i.v. Bradycardia (heart rate less than 20% of baseline) if occurs, it was treated

 Table 1: Demographic Data (Age Distribution)

Sr .No	Group of Patients	Age		
		Mean	S.D	p-value
1	С	66.63	8.620	0.27
2	Т	64.40	7.064	

The onset of sensory block was delayed in the group T and this difference was found to be highly significant statistically (p< 0.001). Mean time to achieve maximum level of sensory block in group C was 5.70+1.022 and group T was 10.33+1.184. It was delayed in group T that come out to be highly significant statistically. Mean onset of motor block (in minute) in group T was 8.40+0.77

Table 2: Comparison between group C and group T

Parameters	Mean -	p-value	
	С	Т	
Onset of sensory block	3.17+1.020	7.20+1.215	0.000
(in minutes)			
Onset of motor block (in minutes)	5.47+1.358	8.40+0.770	0.000
Time to achieve maximum sensory level(in	5.70+1.022	10.33+1.184	0.000
minutes)			
Duration of motor block(in minutes)	219.23+18.122	215.43+19.415	0.436

The incidence of hypotension (mean blood pressure less than 20 % of baseline) in group C was 60 % and in group T was 30%. Therefore, this incidence of hypotension was found to be statistically significant between the two groups. (p-value < 0.05). The incidence of bradycardia

(Heart rate recording less than 20 % of baseline) was 27 % in group C patients and 7 % in group T patients. Therefore this incidence of bradycardia was found to be statistically significant between the two group. (p <0.05) as shown in table 3.

Table 3- Assessment	of side effects
---------------------	-----------------

Parameter	Group C		Group T		p-value
	Number	%age	Number	%age	
Hypotension	18	60	9	30	0.019
Bradycardia	8	27	2	7	0.037

with injection atropine 0.6 mg i.v. Data collected was entered and analyzed in excel sheet, using appropriate statistical software and test of significance.

#### Results

Demographic distribution in two groups were similar with no significant difference as shown in table 1. Mean age (in years) in group C was 66.63+8.620 and in group T was 64.40+ 7.064.

and in group C was 5.47+1.35. It was delayed in

group T that come out to be highly significant

statistically. Mean duration of motor block (in

minutes) in group C and group T was

219.23+18.122 and 215.43+19.415 respectively. P

value was 0.436 which was not significant

statistically as shown in table 2.

#### Discussion

Spinal anaesthesia is safe, reliable and inexpensive modality for transurethral resection of prostrate with the additional advantage of providing stable hemodynamics, decreased blood loss, prolonged post operative pain relief, less chances of deep vein thrombosis after surgery, decreased postoperative confusion and faster recoverv<sup>3</sup>. As Most of patients are elderly with multiple co- morbidities, increased hemodynamic alterations are expected in patients undergoing transuretheral resection of prostrate under subarachnoid block<sup>3</sup>. Our study compared to study conducted by Litz et  $al^6$ , who found that the incidence of hypotension was greater in lumbar than Taylor group. Incidence group of hypotension in lumbar group was  $14.9 \pm 8.6\%$  and in Taylor group was  $10.5 \pm 8.3\%$ . The difference was found to be statistically significant (p value less than 0.001). Maximum decrease in heart rate in lumbar group and Taylor group was 16.7  $\pm$ 11.8% and  $9.4 \pm 9.9\%$  respectively. The difference was found to be statistically significant (p value less than 0.001). K.H. Olsen et  $al^8$  in 1990 while comparing spinal analgesia with plain bupivacaine administered 0.5% at spinal interspace L2-L3 or L4-L5 found that incidence of hypotension in both group was 8% and not found to be statistically significant.

### Conclusion

Taylor's approach of spinal anaesthesia was associated with delay in the onset of sensory block and motor block along with slower time for maximum level of sensory block and lesser incidence of hypotension and bradycardia . We observed that the Taylor approach of spinal anaesthesia in TURP patients was associated with less hemodynamic side effect. Thus we concluded that Taylor's approach of spinal anaesthesia is superior to conventional approach of spinal anaesthesia for patient undergoing transuretheral resection of prostrate with decreased incidence and severity of hemodynamic side effect

## References

- Aidan M O Donnell, Irwin TH Foo MB BChir. Anaesthesia for transuretheral resection of the prostrate. *Br J Anaesth* 2009; 9(3): 92-6.
- 2. Chia-Chu Liu,Shu-Pin Huang,Yii –Her Chou, Chii-Jye Wang, Chun- Hsiung Huang. current indication for Transuretheral resection of prostrate and associated complications. *Kaohsiung J Med sci* 2003;19: 49-54.
- 3. Vitalis Mung'ayi, Karen Mbaya, Thikra Sharif, Dorothy Kamya. A randomized controlled trial comparing haemodynamic stability in elderly patients undergoing spinal anaesthesia at  $L_5$ - $S_1$  versus spinal anaesthesia at  $L_3$ - $L_4$  at a tertiary African hospital. *African health science* 2015; 15(2): 466-79.
- Md.Rafiqul Islam, Mozaffer Hossain, Quazi Arefin Kabir, Abdul Alim. Paramedian approach for subarachnoid blockade – A marvelous technique having less attention. *Journal of BSA* 2006; 19: 51-3.
- Dr. P. Chalapathy, Dr. E. Jayasundaram. Taylors approach is best apporoach to overcome difficulty in lumbar puncture in difficult spinal cases. *IORS-JDMS* 2016;15-12
- 6. Litz, R.J, Brandt, M.; Wiessner, D.; Heller, A.R.; Koch, T. spinal anaesthesia using Taylors lumbosacral approach reducing incidence and severity of hemodynamic side effect in patient undergoing transuretheral surgery. *EJA* 2006; 23: 114.
- 7. Kumkum Gupta, Bhawna Rastogi, Prashant K .Gupta, Avinash Rastogi, Manish Jain and V.P. Singh. Subarachnoid block with Taylors approach for surgery of lower half of body and lower limb:A clinical study. *Anesth Essays Res*.2012; 6(1): 38-41
- K.H. Olsen, T.H Nielsen, E. Kristoffersen, H.C. Husegaard, M. Wernberg and J. Dorup. Spinal analgesia with 0.5% bupivacaine administered at spinal interspace L2-L3 or L4-L5. Br J Anaesth 1990; 64: 170-2.