2017

www.jmscr.igmpublication.org Impact Factor 5.84 Index Copernicus Value: 83.27 ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: _https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v5i8.79



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research An Official Publication Of IGM Publication

Analysing the Role of Computed Tomography in the Diagnosis of Acute Pancreatitis and in Grading its Severity

Authors

Ashwin Kumar S¹, Daisy Joseph V², Nandhini S³, Vivek K⁴

¹Formerly Senior Resident, Department of Radiodiagnosis, Govt Medical College, Kottayam ²Assistant professor, Department of Radiodiagnosis, Govt Medical College, Kottayam ³Senior Resident, Department of Radiodiagnosis, Govt Medical College, Kottayam ⁴Junior Resident, Department of Radiodiagnosis, Govt Medical College, Kottayam

Corresponding Author

Daisy Joseph V

Email: dsphilipc@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Acute pancreatitis is one of the most common gastroenterological disease. Alcoholism and biliary tract disease (gallstones) account for approximately 90% of cases. The incidence in Europe and United states ranges from 20 to over 70 per 100,000 population. The present study aims to evaluate CT manifestations of acute pancreatitis and to grade its severity using modified CT severity index score.

Materials and methods: Designed as descriptive study among the patients clinically suspected to have acute pancreatitis in the department of RA diodiagnosis, Kottayam. CT findings were evaluated an given scores in even numbers using modified CT severity index score in 100 patients.

Results: Out of 100 patients, CT shows 88% had acute pancreatitis, 4% had chronic pancreatitis without acute CT findings and 8% had normal CT appearance. Using modified CT severity score, 36% had mild disease, 53% had moderate and only 11% had severe disease. Peripancreatic fat stranding was the most common finding followed by size of the pancreas.

Conclusion: *CT* plays a very important role in the early diagnosis of acute pancreatitis and to grade the extent and severity of the disease and thus helpful in better management of the patients.

Keywords: Acute pancreatitis, computed tomography, modified CT severity index score.

Introduction

Acute pancreatitis was first described by Dutchman Nicolas Tulp in 1652.¹ It is one of the most common gastroenterological disease. Alcoholism and biliary tract disease (gallstones) account for approximately 90% of cases. The incidence in Europe and United states ranges from 20 to over 70 per 100,000 population.^{2,3}

Computed tomography gives a global picture of the pathology and complications of acute pancreatitis. CT has the advantages over other imaging techniques that it is accurate in establishing the diagnosis, and in assessing for complications. CT is reproducible and reliable from a clinician's perspective; and it is relatively inexpensive and widely available.⁴ CT has four major indications in patients with suspected or known acute pancreatitis: to establish the diagnosis and exclude other serious intraabdominal conditions; to assess the severity of the pancreatitis; pancreatic to detect and extrapancreatic complications, such as pancreatic

JMSCR Vol||05||Issue||08||Page 26462-26466||August

necrosis, abscess formation, and involvement of surrounding solid organs, vascular structures or gastrointestinal tractomputed tomography helps in early diagnosis of acute pancreatitis and its complicaions. The reported CT sensitivity for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis ranges from 77% to 92%. The usefulness of CT is further supported by its high specificity. In most series, there are few false positive findings and CT specificity as high as 100%⁵ has been reported. In addition by examining the entire abdomen, CT can reveal a variety of other abdominal conditions in patients with clinically suspected acute pancreatitis.

Sonography is considered a useful procedure in the evaluation of patients presenting with abdominal pain in which the differential diagnosis includes acute pancreatitis. However, due to overlying bowel gas, the technique fails to evaluate the pancreas in approximately 20% of patients. US may be helpful in the follow-up of fluid collections and pseudocysts in selected cases. US may also be used to guide and guide interventional procedures. Ultrasound, despite its multi-planar capability and real-time features, is found to have low sensitivity. Hence CT is the preferred over Ultrasound in Acute pancreatitis.⁶

Limitations in the CT diagnosis of acute pancreatitis are related to suboptimal examinations resulting from poor technique, lack of intravenous contrast medium, or inability of the patient to cooperate. The incidence of normal CT scans in these persons has been estimated as 14 – 28 %. But it is not well established because surgical or pathological correlation is lacking.

Table 1: Modified CT severity index '
--

ſ

points
0
2
4
0
2
4
2

Modified CT severity index (Table 1) incorporated features reflecting organ failure and extra-pancreatic complications and would be useful for predicting outcomes more accurately.

Materials and Methods

A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted in 100 patients, clinically suspected to have acute pancreatitis in the department of Radiodiagnosis, Kottayam for about 9 months from January 2013 to September 2013. Patients with history of allergic reactions to iodinated contrast agents and patients not willing to take part in the study were excluded from the study.

Study Instrument

Non contrast and contrast enhanced computed tomography of abdomen and pelvis were taken in a 4 slice Toshiba asteion spiral CT machine.

Study Procedure

After obtaining ethical clearance and consent from the patient, non contrast images were acquired as 5mm sections from the level of xiphisternum to pubic symphysis. Post contrast images were acquired in the portal venous phase, 65 seconds after the administration of 100-150 ml of nonionic iodinated contrast agent (iohexol) by intravenous route. Post contrast images were acquired as 3mm sections of upper abdomen and 5mm sections of rest of the abdomen.

Data Collection and Analysis

All the images were reconstructed into sagittal and coronal planes using multiplanar reconstruction. tomographic Computed imaging findings (Pancreatic size, enhancement pattern, presence or absence of intrapancreatic / extra pancreatic fluid collection, presence or absence of pancreatic necrosis, percentage of pancreatic necrosis, presence or absence of peripancreatic fat necrosis, pancreatic duct size, presence or absence of calcifications, presence or absence of extrapancreatic involvement or pancreatic

parenchymal complications) of each patient were recorded in the case report form (profoma) and entered in MS Excel.. Based on these, patients were given scores in even numbers, ranging from 0 - 10 using modified CT severity index. Patients given scores using modified CT severity index were further categorized into three groups as mild, moderate and severe. Percentage of mortality in each category of mild, moderate and severe were recorded.

Data obtained were analyzed using descriptive statistics which includes measure of dispersion and measure of central tendencies.

Results

The final sample size was 100 and these cases were included in the statistical evaluation of the study. 7% were in the age group 20 - 29 yrs, 23% in the age group 30 - 39 yrs, 35% in the age group 40 - 49 yrs, 28% in the age group 50 - 59 yrs, 5% in the age group 60 - 69yrs and 1% in the age group 70 - 79yrs. Mean age of the sample is 45 yrs. Youngest patient is of 22 yrs age and Eldest patient is of 70yrs age.

Out of 100 cases, 80% of cases were male and 20% were female (Table 2). 78% cases had increase in size of pancreas, 5% showed atrophic pancreas, 17% had normal size pancreas (Table 3). Peripancreatic fat strandings were seen in 80% of cases and absent in 20% of cases (Table 4).

Pancreatic necrosis was seen in 15% of cases (11% had <30% necrosis, 4% had >30% necrosis). Pancreatic necrosis was absent in 85% of cases (Table 5). Peripancreatic fat necrosis was seen in only 6% of study sample. 94% of the cases did not have peripancreatic fat necrosis (Table 6).

Based on modified CT severity index, 36% had mild pancreatitis, 53% had moderate pancreatitis and 11% had severe pancreatitis (Table 7).

8% had normal CT appearance, 56% had acute pancreatitis without complications, 2% had complicated acute pancreatitis, 30% had acute exacerbation of chronic pancreatitis and 4 % had chronic pancreatitis without CT evidence of acute exacerbation (Table 8).

Table 2: Frequency distribution of study sample based on sex

Sex	Frequency
male	80
Female	20

Table 3: Frequency distribution of study sample

 based on the pancreatic size

Pancreatic size	Frequency	Percentage
Increased	78	78%
Normal	17	17%
Atrophic	5	5%

Table 4: Frequency distribution of study sample

 based on Peripancreatic fat stranding

Peripancreatic fat stranding	Frequency	Percentage
present	80	80%
absent	20	20%

Table 5: Frequency distribution of study samplebased on Pancreatic necrosis

Pancreatic	necrosis	Frequency	Percentage
No necrosi	S	85	85%
Necrosis	< 30%	11	11%
	>30%	4	4%

Table 6 : Frequency distribution of study sample

 based on Peripancreatic necrosis

Peripancreatic necrosis	Frequency	Percentage
Absent	94	94%
Present	6	6%

Table 7 : Frequency distribution of Study sampleaccording to severity based on modified CTseverity score

Severity	Frequency	Percentage
Mild	36	36%
Moderate	53	53%
Severe	11	11%

Table 8 : Frequency distribution of study samplebased on computed tomographic diagnosis

CT diagnosis	Frequency
Normal CT appearance	8
Acute pancreatitis without complication	56
Complicated acute pancreatitis	2
Acute exacerbation of chronic pancreatitis	30
Chronic pancreatitis without CT evidence of acute exacerbation	4

Fig 1: CT of a 33 year old male with acute pancreatitis showing bulky and heterogenous tail of the pancreas with peripancreatic fat stranding



Fig 2: CT of a 56 year old male with acute pancreas showing more than thirty percent pancreatic necrosis. Air pocket noted within, suggestive of infected necrosis



Discussion

In our study peripancreatic fat strandings were seen in 80% of the cases, making it the most common finding followed by increase in pancreatic size (78%). 39% of the patients had fluid collection, most of which is extrapancreatic fluid collection.

Pancreatic necrosis was seen in 15% of the cases (11% of the patients had less than 30% necrosis and 5% of the patients had more than 30% necrosis). Peripancreatic fat necrosis was seen in only 6 cases. Studies conducted by Kemppainen E et al⁸ found 10 - 20% patients of acute pancreatitis develop necrosis.

Extrapancreatic involvement was seen in 56% of the cases. The most common extrapancreatic involvement was ascites, which was seen in 46% of cases, followed by pleural effusion (16% of the cases) and gastrointestinal involvement (15% of the cases).

Balthazar EJ⁹, In his first study in 1985, graded acute pancreatitis into five distinct groups (from A to E), and attempted to correlate the computed tomography grade with clinical follow up findings, morbidity, and mortality. He reviewed in 2002, to describe the accepted new concepts in the development of pancreatic necrosis and staging of acute pancreatitis. The computed tomography severity index helps in development and extent of pancreatic necrosis as it is important indicators for disease severity. They concluded by saying contrast-enhanced computed tomography is the imaging modality of choice to stage the severity of inflammatory process, detect pancreatic necrosis and depict local complications. It yielded overall detection rate of 90% with close to 100% sensitivity after 4days for pancreatic gland necrosis.

Using modified CT severity index score, Maximum number of patients had a total score of 4 (27%) followed by score 6 (26%). Least number of patients had score 10 (1%). 12% of cases had score 0.

Based on the scores obtained, patients were classified to have mild, moderate and severe disease. Patient with score 0 - 2 were classified as having mild disease, 4 - 6 as having moderate disease, 8 - 10 as having severe disease. Maximum number of cases in our study were found to have moderate disease (53% of cases) followed by mild disease (36% of cases). Severe pancreatitis was seen in only 11% of cases. In a similar study conducted by Mortele et al with a sample of 66, 34 (51%) had mild pancreatitis, 22 (33%) had moderate pancreatitis.¹⁰

1.9% of moderate pancreatitis and 27% of severe pancreatitis patients died.

Conclusion

Computed tomography plays a very important role in management of patients with acute pancreatitis, complementing laboratory investigations such as serum amylase and lipase level that have high sensitivity and specificity. Computed tomography is of great use to grade the extent and severity of the acute pancreatitis. Prognosis of acute pancreatitis can be depicted by Modified CT severity index. Computed tomography is also very useful in the early detection of complications of acute pancreatitis.

References

- Tulp N. Observationum medicarum. 2nd ed. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Editio Nova et Aucta; 1652.
- 2. Tinto A, Lloyd D A, Kangjy et al. Acute and chronic pancreatitis - disease on the rise: A study of hospital admissions in England. Alimentary pharmacology and therapy. 2002: p. 2097-2105.
- Frey C F, Zhou H, Harvey D J, White R H. The incidence and case fatality rates of acute biliary, alcoholic and idiopathic pancreatitis in california 1994-2001. Pancreas. 2006: p. 336-344.
- Merkele E M, Gorich J. Imaging of Acute pancreatitis. European Radiology.2002;12: P.1979-1992.
- Clavien P A, hauser H, Meyer P, et al. Value of contrast enhanced CT in the early diagnosis & prognosis of acute pancreatitis. American journal of surgery. 1988;(155): p. 457 - 466.
- Mortele K J, P A Banks, S G Silverman. State of art imaging of Acute pancreatitis. JBR-BTR. 2003;(86): p. 193-208.
- Mortele et al. A modified CT severity index for evaluating acute pancreatitis: improved correlation with patient outcome. American journal of roentgenology. 2004: p. 1261-1265.
- 8. Kemppainen E, Sainio V, Haapiainen R,

Kivisaari L, Kivilaakso E, Poolakkainen P. Early localisation of necrosis by contrast enhanced computed tomography can predict outcome in severe acute pancreatitis. British journal of surgery. 1996 july: p. 924-929..

- Balthazar E J. Acute pancreatitis: Assessment of severity with clinical and CT evaluation. Radiology. 2002 June: p. 603-613.
- Mortele K J, Merge P, Taylor H, Ernst M, Ros P R. Renal and perirenal space involvement in acute pancreatitis: state of the art spiral CT findings. Abdominal Imaging. 2000: p. 272-278.