# JMSCR Vol||05||Issue||07||Page 25711-25713||July

2017

www.jmscr.igmpublication.org Impact Factor 5.84 Index Copernicus Value: 83.27 ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: \_https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v5i7.231



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research tion An Official Publication Of IGM Publication

# Assessment of Infection Control Standards in a Tertiary Healthcare Facility in Tribal Region of West Maharashtra, Central India

Author

Dr Vandana Agarwal

Professor & Head, Department of Microbiology, Government Medical College and Hospital, Chandrapur,

Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author

Dr Vandana Agarwal

Email: agarwal.gmc@gmail.com

#### Abstract

A total of 11 infection control standards were assessed in a tertiary healthcare facility in a tribal region of Maharashtra. The aim was to identify areas with scope of improvement and suggest remedial measures to minimize the risk of hospital-acquired infection. Out of the 11 standards, the rate of surgical site infections was 2.24%, while deficiency was observed in 3 standards. The total score was 10.5 on a 12-point scale, a satisfactory score. A strictly professional nursing care together with efficient administrative contribution helped in achieving a high score.

### Introduction

Over 1.5 million people worldwide are reported to be suffering from hospital-acquired infections (HAI). These are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. One third of all such episodes are potentially preventable.

The present study has focussed on capturing 11 standards that are needed to prevent hospitalacquired infections. The purpose of the study was to assess these standards and suggest remedial measures so as to minimize the risk of HAI in our healthcare facility.

### **Materials and Methods**

### 1. Infrastructure

The study was conducted in a 300-bedded functional tertiary care teaching health facility in a tribal region of Maharashtra in Central India. The healthcare setting has 13 clinical departments with in-patient and out-patient facility, 3 operation theatres (OT), an intensive care unit (ICU), a special neonatal care unit (SNCU), labour room (LR), dialysis unit casualty department, central clinical laboratory, central research laboratory, central hospital pharmacy and stores, central kitchen, linen section, central library, medical record section and a biomedical waste collection centre.

### 2. Pre-assessment training programmes.

- i. Exhaustive training of medical and paramedical staff was undertaken for 3 months.
- CME on "Infection Control Measures" and "Rational Use of Antimicrobials" were conducted for medical and paramedical staff.
- iii. Nursing staff was trained on maintaining records on infection control standards and identification of indicators of HAI.
- iv. Demonstrations were made on safe hospital practices during regular surveillance to paramedical staff including safai karamcharis.

# JMSCR Vol||05||Issue||07||Page 25711-25713||July

# 2017

- v. Guidelines for biomedical waste (2016) were circulated to heads of all departments, Medical Superintendent, Matron and Sanitary Inspector.
- vi. Nursing staff was deputed to regional centre for "Training of trainers on newer concepts of biomedical waste management."
- vii. Meetings of Hospital Infection Control Committee played an important role in disseminating lapses in infection control measures and suggesting corrective actions

# Assessment of infection control standards

A total of 11 standards were assessed as per criteria laid down by National Accreditation Board for Hospitals and Healthcare Providers <sup>[1]</sup>. The expected norm for each standard was predefined (Table 1). Based upon the observed value, each standard was scored on a scale of 12 points; the contributory weightageof infection control standards for accreditation of a healthcare facilitybeing12%.

### Results

The results obtained are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Assessment of Infection Control Standards
--

Sr. No.	Standard	Expected Norm	Observation	Maximum Score	Observed Score
1.	Air quality monitoring [2]	<ul> <li>Number of air samples contaminated per 100 air samples tested must be zero</li> <li>Maintenance of records</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Procedure for aerial disinfection by fogging/ fumigation was satisfactory</li> <li>No air contamination observed in OT/ ICU/ SNCU/ LR</li> <li>Records well maintained</li> </ul>	1	1
2.	Functioning of Infection Control Committees [2]	<ul> <li>HICC<sup>1</sup>: 3 monthly meetings</li> <li>HTMC<sup>2</sup>: monthly meetings</li> <li>TMC<sup>3</sup>: weekly meetings</li> <li>Records of minutes of meetings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>HICC functioned satisfactorily&amp; minutes of meetings were recorded</li> <li>No records of minutes of meetings of HTMC and TMC were available</li> </ul>	1	0.5
3.	Surgical Site Infection (SSI)	<ul> <li>Number of SSIs reported per 100 elective surgeries should be ≤ 5%</li> <li>Maintenance of records</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Amongst all surgical facilities, SSI was encountered only in post LSCS ward and the rate was 2.24%</li> <li>Records were maintained in all surgical facilities</li> </ul>	2	2
4.	Ventilator Associated Pneumonia (VAP)	<ul> <li>Number of VAPs per 1000 ventilator days should be ≤ 5%</li> <li>Maintenance of records</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No case of VAP was reported from ICU/ SNCU</li> <li>Records were maintained</li> </ul>	2	2
5.	Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection (CAUTI)	• Number of CAUTIs per 1000 catheter days should be $\leq 5\%$	• No case of CAUTI was reported from any surgical/ medical units	2	2
6.	Needle stick injuries with post exposure prophylaxis (PEP)	<ul> <li>Maintenance of records</li> <li>Number of healthcare workers (HCW) provided with PEP per 100 HCW reporting needle stick injuries</li> <li>Record of staff given PEP</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Records maintained</li> <li>PEP administration averaged &lt; 1%</li> <li>Drugs available</li> <li>Records well maintained</li> </ul>	1	1
7.	Hand hygiene guidelines	<ul> <li>Record of starf given the Posters on hand hygiene guidelines at all workstations</li> <li>Availability of soap (cakes &amp; liquid), alcoholic handrub, wiping towels/ dryers</li> <li>Basin fitted with elbow-cork taps and continuous water supply</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hand hygiene guidelines followed</li> <li>All accessories for handwash available except taps with elbow-cork</li> </ul>	0.5	0.5
8.	Central Sterilization & Supply Department (CSSD)	Autoclave records with exposed chemical indicator strips for sterility testing	<ul> <li>Records available and satisfactory</li> <li>Indicator strips were pasted on the register</li> </ul>	1	1
9.	Biomedical waste (BMW) management [3]	<ul> <li>Evidence of registration with Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB): authorization letter with date and validity</li> <li>BMW management as per revised guidelines (2016)</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Records available</li><li>BMW management satisfactory</li></ul>	0.5	0.5
10.	Prophylactic Hepatitis B vaccination	<ul> <li>Mandatory prophylaxis with Hepatitis B vaccine to high risk healthcare providers (HCP)</li> <li>Maintenance of records</li> </ul>	• Hepatitis B vaccine stock for high risk HCP not available in store	0.5	0
11.	Hospital Antibiotic Policy	<ul> <li>Formulation &amp; implementation of Hospital Antibiotic Policy</li> </ul>	• Not available	0.5	0
	5		TOTAL nent Committee <sup>3</sup> TMC:Theatre Management Com	12	10.5

Dr Vandana Agarwal JMSCR Volume 05 Issue 07 July 2017

### Discussion

Hospital infection control standards contribute 12% weightage for accreditation of a healthcare facility<sup>[1]</sup>. A critical assessment of all the infection control standards showed that our tertiary healthcare facility scored a total of 10.5 points on a 12-point scale. This indicates that the protocol for infection control followed in the healthcare facility was satisfactory. A strictly professional nursing care together with the efficient administrative contribution have helped in achieving a score of 10.5.

The rate of SSI was 2.24% (Table 1, standard 3). Though the figures were within the limits of acceptability, the policy of pre-operative skin preparationwas changed from using 5% betadine to the use of 10% betadine. A one month followup showed the change to be effective.

Deficiencies were observed in 3 out of 11 standards. Firstly, assessment of functioning of Infection Control Committees showed that HICC functioned with regularity documenting minutes of meeting. However, HTMC and TMC meetings were irregular with no documentation of minutes of meeting. Significance of HTMC and TMC meetingswere explained to the committee members and reinforced during HICC rounds.

Secondly, prophylaxis with Hepatitis B vaccine to high risk HCP was not implemented as government supply of Hep B vaccine was not available. Instructions were given to the store incharge by Chairman HICC for procuring Hep B vaccine for high risk HCP.

Thirdly, hospital antibiotic policy was not formulated. A CME was conducted on current trends on rational use of antibiotics. As the hospital was only recently upgraded to a tertiary care hospital from a secondary care hospital, atleast one year was required to generate sufficient laboratory data on antimicrobial resistance. Subsequently, it is expected that the hospital antibiotic policy will be formulated.

# Conclusion

The study has shown that currently infection control standards were being practised satisfactorily healthcare facility. in our Surveillance should continue with same zeal and with regular training programmes/ workshops/ demonstrations for both medical and paramedical staff. In addition, staff should be deputed from time to time for attending infection control activities wherever possible.

## References

- 1. National Accreditation Board for Hospitals and Healthcare Providers: Course material for Training program on empanelment criteria under Rajiv Gandhi Jeevandayee Arogya Yojana; Issue Feb. 2014.
- 2. Directorate of Medical Education and Research: Recommendations of state-level subcommittee of infection control committee regarding specific operational procedures for the operation theatre management; Issue 9<sup>th</sup> Feb. 2000
- Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India: Biomedical-waste Management Rules, notification dated 28<sup>th</sup> March 2016.