



## Current Evaluation for the Frequency of Portal Vein Thrombosis and Its Impact among Egyptian Cirrhotic Patients

Authors

**Soha E. Khorshed\***, **Hala I M Hussein\***, **Inas M. Elfiki\*\***

Departments of Tropical Medicine\* and Radiology\*\*, Faculty Of Medicine, Zagazig University

Corresponding Authors

**Soha E. Khorshed**

Tropical Medicine Faculty Of Medicine,

Zagazig University

Email: [sohaesmat@hotmail.com](mailto:sohaesmat@hotmail.com)

- Portal vein thrombosis (PVT) were reported in 17.8% of our cirrhotic patients.
- Hepatocellular carcinoma was the commonest risk factors of portal vein thrombosis followed by sclerotherapy
- Child C class showed highly statistically significant increase in patients with portal vein thrombosis.
- Doppler examination of patients with PVT revealed, Retrograde flow, distention, increased echogenicity at the site of the thrombus and cavernous transformation

List of abbreviations:

Portal Vein Thrombosis (PVT)

Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)

### Abstract

**Background:** *Development of portal vein thrombosis (PVT) is a significant milestone in the natural history of cirrhosi .*

**Aim of the Study:** *Evaluation of frequency of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients, determine the possible associated risk factors and consequence of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients.*

**Patients and Methods:** *The present study was conducted on 500 cirrhotic patients. All patients were subjected to routine investigations, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and abdominal ultrasonography with portal doppler examination.*

**Results:** *The present study revealed portal vein thrombosis (PVT) in 89 patients with frequency 17.8% of PVT among cirrhotic patients. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) was the commonest risk factors of portal vein thrombosis followed by sclerotherapy. Hepatitis C was present in 88.8% of patients with portal vein thrombosis. Elevated liver enzymes, bilirubin and alpha fetoprotein were significantly increased in patients with PVT in comparison with those without PVT. Child C class showed highly statistically significant increase in patients with portal vein thrombosis. The incidence of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients who underwent splenectomy was 50%. Portal vein and splenic diameter, were significantly increased in patients with PVT in comparison with those without PVT. Retrograde flow, distention and increased echogenicity at the site of the thrombus in addition to cavernous transformation were significantly observed on Doppler examination of patients with PVT.*

**Conclusions:** *PVT is frequently reported in patients with liver cirrhosis especially those with advanced stages as a part from a complex scenario. HCC and sclerotherapy are the most common risk factors of PVT.*

**Key Words:** *portal vein, thrombosis, cirrhosis, risk factors, outcome.*

## Introduction

Portal vein thrombosis (PVT) is considered a rare clinical and pathological entity [1], [2]. The first case of PVT was reported in 1868 by Balfour and Stewart, describing a patient presenting splenomegaly, ascites, and variceal dilation [3]. Although in the general population PVT is considered a rare event, its prevalence among cirrhotic patients ranges between 4.4%-15%, and is responsible for about 5%-10% of overall cases of portal hypertension [4]. But its prevalence among patients with hepatocellular carcinoma ranges from 20% to 65% [5], [6].

The elevation of conventional coagulation parameters in patients with liver failure does not protective against thrombotic events. Indeed, a deficiency in the natural anticoagulant system in liver failure may actually contribute to a prothrombotic state [7]. Other factors such as

platelet dysfunction, endothelial dysfunction, increased levels of von-willebrand factor, and hemodynamic changes related to portal hypertension, all these factor lead to the net coagulation status of patients with liver disease [8]. Thrombus formation usually begins in the portal vein and sometimes extends to other branches of the portal system such as splenic vein or mesenteric vein. The involved blood vessels can be partially or totally occluded resulting in increased portal venous outflow resistance from narrowing or obstructed blood vessel lumens [4], [1], [9].

As thrombosis usually occurs gradually giving time for the development of cavernous transformation as a result of periportal collateralization around the occluded portal vein, most patients are asymptomatic and do not seek medical attention [9], [2]. However, obvious

signs of portal hypertension such as splenomegaly, ascites, and massive hemorrhage from esophageal and gastric varices could also be found [1] , [10]. In the acute setting of moderate to severe thrombus occlusion, abdominal pain may be the striking presentation [2], [9], [10], [11] . So, we aimed to assess frequency of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients and determine the possible associated risk factors and consequence of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients.

### Patients and methods

This study was carried out in Tropical Medicine Department, Faculty of medicine, Zagazig University, during the period from July 2011 to July 2013. five hundred patients with cirrhosis (311 males and 189 females), their ages ranged from 40 to 80 years old ( $57.12 \pm 56$ ) were enrolled. The diagnosis of liver cirrhosis was established clinically, laboratory and radiology. The severity of the liver disease was assessed according to the Child-Pugh classification. After exclusion of systemic illness that affect survival e.g( heart failure – renal failure), any coagulation disorders, other than hepatic patients, peripheral vascular disease or medications that affect the vascular bed.

After an informed consent and study revision by institutional review board of Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, all the patients with liver cirrhosis were subjected to the following:

- Full history taking and thorough clinical examination.

- Liver function tests including, serum bilirubin (total & direct), ALT, AST, total protein, serum albumin, prothrombin time & INR and serum alkaline phosphatase.
- Kidney function tests including serum creatinine and urea.
- Complete blood picture.
- Viral *markers* : Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBs Ag), Hepatitis B core Ab (HBc Ab) , Hepatitis C virus Ab (HCV Ab) using third generation ELISA test .
- Serum alpha-fetoprotein level: In cases suspecting hepatocellular carcinoma.
- Anti mitochondrial antibody (AMA),anti smooth muscle antibodies (ASMA) ,antinuclear antibodies(ANA) and anti liver kidney microsomal antibodies (LKMA). In cases suspecting autoimmune hepatitis.
- Child-Pugh classification was assessed using parameters of serum bilirubin, serum albumin, prothrombin concentration, hepatic encephalopathy and ascites (Pugh et al., 1973). Child classes; (A): 5-6 point (B): 7-9 point (C): 10-15 points
- Abdominal ultrasonography.
- Upper GIT endoscopy to asses the presence and grading of esophageal varices.
- CT Abdomen: We use this imaging study in cases showed focal lesion(s) in ultrasonography to confirm diagnosis of

hepatocellular carcinoma. Also it is useful in evaluating the condition of portal vein.

- Portal Vein Doppler Sonography: It provides them with flow of portal vein, direction of this flow either antroversal or retroversal and velocity of flow, Also it determine the echogenecity of the thrombus within the lumen .It determine the presence of cavernous transformation. The color Doppler detects signals within the malignant thrombus in some cases and we may use the spectral Doppler to detect pulsatile waves in some cases which is +ve for color Doppler signals.

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis of patient`s data was done using Ep<sub>i</sub>- Info (2004) soft ware computer. Quantitative data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (  $M \pm SD$ ) and analyzed by independent "t" test. While, qualitative data were expressed as number and percentage and were analyzed by Chi square ( $X^2$ ) test.

## Results


The present study included 500 patients with cirrhosis (311 males and 189 females), their ages ranged from 40 to 80 years old ( $57.12 \pm 56$ ) and revealed portal vein thrombosis (PVT) in 89 patients with frequency 17.8% of PVT among studied cirrhotic patients.

In 27 patients (30.33%) the thrombosis was present in the main trunk only, 16 patients

(17.97%) in right branch only, the least common site is the left branch only in 3 patients (3.37%) while, 43 patients (48.31%) showed mixed sites for the thrombosis mainly the main with the right branch ( 20 patients , 22.47%) which shows statistically significant differences (  $p < 0.001$ ) (data not shown).. Although, males predominate in cirrhotic patients with portal vein thrombosis there is no statistically significant difference ( $p=0.065$ ) between patients with (  $M/F=63/26$  ) and without (  $M/F=248/163$  ) portal vein thrombosis (data not shown). Hepatocellular carcinoma is the commonest risk factors of portal vein thrombosis followed by sclerotherapy (fig, 1). There was no significant difference as regard the etiology of cirrhosis between both groups, however, hepatitis C was present in 88.8% of patients with portal vein thrombosis is followed by 9% due to hepatitis B&C followed by 2.2% due to hepatitis B ( table, 1). Clinical presentation, laboratory parameters and ultrasonographic evaluation of portal of the patients is shown in table ( 1, 2). Although, there was no significant difference in Child classes between both groups, Child C class showed highly statistically significant increase in patients with portal vein thrombosis, table (3). Retrograde flow, distention and increased echogenicity at the site of the thrombus in addition to cavernous transformation were significantly observed on Doppler examination of patients with PVT table (4).

**Table (1):** Etiological and Clinical presentation of patients with and without portal vein thrombosis

| Etiological parameter     | Without PVT<br>N=411 | With PVT<br>N=89 | P       |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Viral hepatitis (C)       | 370(90%)             | 79(88.8%)        | 0.72    |
| Viral hepatitis (B)       | 22(5.4%)             | 2(2.2%)          | 0.28    |
| Viral hepatitis (B&C)     | 17(4.1%)             | 8(9%)            | 0.06    |
| Autoimmune hepatitis      | 2(0.5%)              | 0(0%)            | 1.00    |
| P value                   | < 0.001              | < 0.001          |         |
| <b>Clinical parameter</b> |                      |                  |         |
| <b>Ascites</b>            |                      |                  |         |
| No                        | 79(19.2%)            | 15(16.8%)        | 0.60    |
| Mild                      | 114(27.7%)           | 18(20.2%)        | 0.14    |
| Moderate                  | 167(40.6%)           | 44(49.4%)        | 0.12    |
| Marked                    | 51(12.4%)            | 12(13.5%)        | 0.78    |
| O.V                       |                      |                  |         |
| 240(58.39%)               | 77(86.52%)           | 317              | < 0.001 |
| 171(41.60%)               | 12(13.48%)           | 183              |         |
| <b>Hcc</b>                |                      |                  |         |
| +ve                       | 12(2.9%)             | 78(87.6%)        | < 0.001 |
| -ve                       | 399(97.1%)           | 11(12.4%)        |         |
| <b>Sclerotherapy</b>      |                      |                  |         |
| +ve                       | 84(20.4%)            | 42(47.2%)        | < 0.001 |
| -ve                       | 327(79.6%)           | 47(52.8%)        |         |
| <b>Splenectomy</b>        |                      |                  |         |
| +ve                       | 5(1.2%)              | 5(5.6%)          | 0.01    |
| -ve                       | 406(98.8)            | 84(94.4%)        |         |



**Fig (I):** Frequency of risk factors of portal vein thrombosis.

**Table (2):** Laboratory and Ultrasonographic parameters of patients with and without portal vein thrombosis

| Variable   |                 | Without PVT<br>N=411 | With PVT<br>N=89 | P       |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| WBC (X10 <sup>3</sup> /ml)   | > 4 x10/ml      | 277(67.4%)           | 52(58.4%)        | 0.106   |
|  | <4 x10/ml       | 134(32.6%)           | 37(41.6%)        |         |
| Hb( g/dl)  | > 12.0 g/dl     | 44(10.7%)            | 8(9%)            | 0.63    |
|  | < 12.0 g/dl     | 367(89.3%)           | 81(91%)          |         |
| Platlet (X10 <sup>3</sup> /ml)   | >150 X10/ml     | 17(4.1%)             | 7(7.9%)          | 0.136   |
|  | < 150 X10/ml    | 394(95.9%)           | 82(92.1%)        |         |
| ALT (IU/ml)  | < 35 IU/L       | 49(11.9%)            | 2(2.2%)          | 0.006   |
|  | > 35 IU/L       | 362(88.1%)           | 87(97.8%)        |         |
| AST (IU/ml)  | < 35 IU/L       | 53(12.9%)            | 5(5.6%)          | 0.05    |
|  | > 35 IU/L       | 358(87.1%)           | 84(94.4%)        |         |
| T.protein( g/dl)   | > 6 g/dl        | 220(53.5%)           | 55(61.8%)        | 0.15    |
|  | < 6 g/dl        | 191(46.5%)           | 34(38.2%)        |         |
| Albumin (g/dl)   | >3.2 g/dl       | 30(7.3%)             | 4(4.5%)          | 0.34    |
|  | < 3.2g/dl       | 381(92.7%)           | 85(95.5%)        |         |
| T.bilirubin (mg/dl)  | Up to 1.2 mg/dl | 122(29.7%)           | 4(4.5%)          | < 0.001 |
|  | > 1.2 mg/dl     | 289(70.3%)           | 85(95.5%)        |         |
| D.bilirubin(mg/dl)   | Up to 0.3 mg/dl | 72(17.5%)            | 2(2.2%)          | < 0.001 |
|  | > .3 mg/dl      | 339(82.5%)           | 87(97.8%)        |         |
| INR  | Up to 1.1       | 7(1.7%)              | 1(1.1%)          | 0.693   |
|  | > 1.1           | 404(98.3%)           | 88(98.9%)        |         |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)   | Up to 1.4 mg/dl | 253(61.5%)           | 47(52.8%)        | 0.127   |
|  | > 1.4 mg/dl     | 158(38.6%)           | 42(47.2%)        |         |
| Alphafetoprotein (ng/ml)   | < 20 ng/ml      | 363(88.3%)           | 31(34.8%)        | < 0.001 |
|  | > 20 ng/ml      | 48(11.7%)            | 58(65.2%)        |         |
| Ultrasonographic evaluation of portal and splenic diameter among studied patients: |                 |                      |                  |         |
| Portal vein diameter   | (mm)            | 15.36±1.46           | 15.74±1.9        | 0.007   |
| Spleen diameter*   | (mm)            | 15.32±1.65           | 15.80±1.49       | 0.013   |

\*10 cases had splenectomy 5 cases with portal vein thrombosis (n=84) and 5 cases without portal vein thrombosis (n=406).

**Table (3):** Child Pugh classification of cirrhosis in patients with and without portal vein thrombosis

| Child classification | Without PVT<br>N=411 | With PVT<br>N=89 | P    |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|------|
| A                    | 29(7%)               | 3(3.4%)          | 0.19 |
| B                    | 138(33.6%)           | 30(33.7%)        | 1.00 |
| C                    | 244(59.4%)           | 56(62.9%)        | 0.53 |
| Total                | 411                  | 89               |      |
| P                    | < 0.001              | < 0.001          |      |

**Table (4):** Doppler features of cases with portal vein thrombosis.

| Variable                              |            | Frequency   | Percentage    | P       |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Flow                                  | Yes        | 47          | 52.80%        | 0.59    |
|                                       | No         | 42          | 47.19%        |         |
| Direction of flow*                    | Antegrade  | 43          | 91.48%        | < 0.001 |
|                                       | Retrograde | 4           | 8.51%         |         |
| Distention at site of thrombus*       | Yes        | 13          | 14.60%        | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 76          | 85.39%        |         |
| Hepatic mass adjacent to portal vein* | Yes        | 24          | 26.96%        | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 65          | 73.03%        |         |
| Echogenicity of thrombus*             | Echogenic  | 87          | 97.75%        | < 0.001 |
|                                       | Hypoechoic | 2           | 2.24%         |         |
| Cavernous transformation*             | Yes        | 24          | 26.96%        | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 65          | 73.03%        |         |
| Colour signal within thrombus*        | Yes        | 28          | 31.46%        | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 61          | 68.53%        |         |
| Spectral Doppler(pulsatile waves) *   | Yes        | 11          | 12.35%        | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 78          | 87.64%        |         |
| Velocity(cm/sec)                      |            | <i>Mean</i> | <i>± SD</i>   |         |
| Before thrombus                       |            | 11.22       | <i>± 1.69</i> | < 0.001 |
| After thrombus                        |            | 4.78        | <i>± 1.28</i> |         |

**Table (5):** Child Pugh classification of cirrhosis in patients with and without portal vein thrombosis

| Child classification | Without p.v.t | With p.v.t | Total | P    |
|----------------------|---------------|------------|-------|------|
| A                    | 29(7%)        | 3(3.4%)    | 32    | 0.19 |
| B                    | 138(33.6%)    | 30(33.7%)  | 168   | 1.00 |
| C                    | 244(59.4%)    | 56(62.9%)  | 300   | 0.53 |
| Total                | 411           | 89         | 500   |      |
| P                    | < 0.001       | < 0.001    |       |      |

Table (6): Doppler features of cases with portal vein thrombosis.

| Variable                              |            | Frequency   | Percentage      | P       |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---------|
| Flow                                  | Yes        | 47          | 52.80%          | 0.59    |
|                                       | No         | 42          | 47.19%          |         |
| Direction of flow*                    | Antegrade  | 43          | 91.48%          | < 0.001 |
|                                       | Retrograde | 4           | 8.51%           |         |
| Distention at site of thrombus*       | Yes        | 13          | 14.60%          | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 76          | 85.39%          |         |
| Hepatic mass adjacent to portal vein* | Yes        | 24          | 26.96%          | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 65          | 73.03%          |         |
| Echogenicity of thrombus*             | Echogenic  | 87          | 97.75%          | < 0.001 |
|                                       | Hypoechoic | 2           | 2.24%           |         |
| Cavernous transformation*             | Yes        | 24          | 26.96%          | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 65          | 73.03%          |         |
| Colour signal within thrombus*        | Yes        | 28          | 31.46%          | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 61          | 68.53%          |         |
| Spectral Doppler(pulsatile waves) *   | Yes        | 11          | 12.35%          | < 0.001 |
|                                       | No         | 78          | 87.64%          |         |
| Velocity(cm/sec)                      |            | <i>Mean</i> | $\pm$ <i>SD</i> |         |
| Before thrombus                       |            | 11.22       | $\pm$ 1.69      | < 0.001 |
| After thrombus                        |            | 4.78        | $\pm$ 1.28      |         |

## Discussion

Portal vein thrombosis is most often asymptomatic in patients with advanced cirrhosis so that diagnosis is based on systematic imaging. The proper impact of portal vein thrombosis on the natural history of cirrhosis remains unclear. There is no evidence that portal vein thrombosis leads to further deterioration in liver function in advanced cirrhosis [12]. So, we aimed to assess

frequency of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients and determine the possible associated risk factors and consequence of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients.

In our study the frequency of portal vein thrombosis among cirrhotic patients was 17.8%(89 out of 500 cirrhotic patients).This percentage is closely near to [13] reported that the incidence of portal vein thrombosis in patients



with liver cirrhosis was reported to be between 6 and 17%, with a higher incidence in more advanced stages of the liver disease. However, [14] who found that the prevalence was 4.5% only, this difference may be due to that their study is limited to patients who were evaluated for liver transplantation and also be attributed to the difference of regional locality. Other studies showed wide range in the incidence of portal vein thrombosis among liver cirrhotic patients which varied from 0.6% to 64.1% [4] , [15] , [16], [17]. The variation in incidence of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients depends on diagnostic methods or the criteria for patient selection. As regard, to the distribution of thrombosis among branches of portal vein we found that the thrombus was found most commonly in the main trunk (78.6%) followed by right branch (57.3%) and left branch (29.2%). These results were in agreement with a previous finding from Italy which revealed that among 701 patients with liver cirrhosis, the thrombus was found most commonly in the main portal trunk [4]. Moreover, a study from China also showed that the right branch was the most commonly affected branch in a series of 108 patients with advanced HCC [18].

In our study the demographic study of cases with portal vein thrombosis showed that the old age males patients predominated than females patients (70.78% vs 29.1%) respectively. This result was similar to those reported by [19] who found that males were 72.9% and females were 27.1% and [14] who reported that males were

61.5% and females were 38.5%. In previous studies of patients with cirrhosis, male sex has shown to be associated with increased risk for developing non tumoral portal vein thrombosis [20],[21],[22]. There was no significant difference as regard the etiology of cirrhosis between both groups, however, hepatitis C was present in 88.8% of patients with portal vein thrombosis is followed by 9% due to hepatitis B&C followed by 2.2% due to hepatitis B. This could be understood as Egypt has the highest prevalence of antibodies to hepatitis C virus (HCV) in the world, estimated nationally at 12.2% of females and 17.4% of males [1].

Several clinical risk factors have been shown to be associated with portal vein thrombosis: they include thrombocytopenia, previous variceal hemorrhage, splenectomy, surgical portosystemic shunt, and endoscopic treatment of esophageal varices [22],[23].

Trying to search about the possible associated risk factors in cirrhotic patients with portal vein thrombosis, although we did not assess the possible hereditary coagulation defect, it was found that hepatocellular carcinoma is the most common associated risk factor for portal vein thrombosis as it was found in 78 out of 89 patients with portal vein thrombosis (87.6%), However non tumoral portal vein thrombosis was reported in 11 out of 89 patients with portal vein thrombosis (12.4%). Our study was near to the result of [19]] who found that H.C.C represented about 81% of cases with portal vein thrombosis but higher than that reported by [24] who stated

that hepatocellular carcinoma is the most frequent cause of PVT in cirrhosis, being present in up to 44% of cases.

The current study showed that sclerotherapy which is one of the treatments of esophageal varices has a major risk for portal vein thrombosis as 47.2% of patients with portal vein thrombosis (PVT) were on regular sclerotherapy. Our result was in concordance with that reported by [25] who reported that the data suggest that endoscopic sclerotherapy may incite thrombus formation in portal vein tributaries. and among patients who had undergone prior sclerotherapy, but our result not in agree with that reported by [26] who reported that no patient developed splenic or portal vein thrombosis as shown by arteriography as result to intravariceal and paravariceal sclerotherapy.

In this work, 5 of out 10 cirrhotic patients who underwent splenectomy had portal vein thrombosis. So, the incidence of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients who underwent splenectomy was 50%. [27] reported that portal vein thrombosis is a recognized complication of splenectomy. This result was not in agree with that reported by [28] who found that the incidence of portal vein thrombosis in cirrhotic patients who underwent splenectomy 1.8%. As regard clinical, laboratory and sonographic profile of the patients, this study, showed that oesophageal varices, HCC, sclerotherapy and splenectomy were significantly presented in patients with PVT. Also, elevated liver enzymes, bilirubin and alpha fetoprotein were significantly increased in them in

comparison with those without PVT. Historically, patients with PVT were considered to be at increased risk of mortality related to bleeding complications, but improvements in the prophylactic management of esophageal varices have significantly reduced these risks. Conversely, patients with occlusive PVT can be difficult to manage with respect to ascites and hepatohydrothorax. (2)

The present study showed that 62.9% from cirrhotic patients with portal vein thrombosis were Child class C and 33.70 were Child class B. [29] reported that portal vein thrombosis usually occurs in Child B or C patients with cirrhosis and it is not always easy to attribute specific symptoms or deteriorating clinical condition to either worsening of the cirrhosis or to the de novo occurrence of portal vein thrombosis. Our study has relation with [30] who found 52 % of cirrhotic patients with portal vein thrombosis were child C. [21] reported that advanced liver failure has shown to associated with an increased risk for developing non tumoral portal vein thrombosis. Portal vein and splenic diameter,were significantly increased in patients with PVT in comparison with those without PVT. [30]. found that oesophageal varices was present in 94% of cirrhotic patients with portal vein thrombosis. splenomegaly and portal hypertention in our patients may be due to other associated factors rather than cirrhosis and portal vein thrombosis such as bilhaziasis which have wide spread in Egypt .

Retrograde flow, distention and increased echogenicity at the site of the thrombus in addition to cavernous transformation were significantly observed on Doppler examination of patients with PVT. On PVT, 2 compensatory mechanisms occur first mechanism is “arterial vasodilation” of the hepatic artery, “arterial rescue” The second compensatory mechanism is “venous rescue”, consisting of the rapid development of collaterals to bypass the obstruction. called “cavernoma”, connecting the two patent portions proximally and distally to the thrombus. Usually, the original portal vein becomes a thin, fibrotic cord, which is difficult to visualize. At this stage, the development of a hyperkinetic circulation, characterized by low systemic vascular resistance and a high cardiac output. Impairment of portal flow has important consequences on liver tissue. It has been demonstrated that the progressive obliteration of the portal vein stimulates apoptosis of hepatocytes in the hypoperfused lobe (3).

Finally, we concluded that PVT is frequently reported in patients with liver cirrhosis especially those with advanced stages as a part from a complex scenario, as PVT may be a result of several risk factors but definitely, it is associated with poor outcome.

## References

1. Ogren M, Bergqvist D, Björck M, Acosta S, Eriksson H and Sternby NH.: Portal vein thrombosis: prevalence, patient characteristics and lifetime risk: a population study based on 23,796 consecutive autopsies. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; 12:2115–2119.
2. Hoekstra J and Janssen HL. : Vascular liver disorders (II): portal vein thrombosis. *Neth J Med* 2009; 67:46–53.
3. Wang JT, Zhao HY and Liu YL. : Portal vein thrombosis. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2005; 4:515–518.
4. Amitrano L, Guardascione MA, Brancaccio V, Margaglione M, Manguso F, Iannaccone L, et al., : Risk factors and clinical presentation of portal vein thrombosis in patients with liver cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2004;40:736-741.
5. Rabe C, Pilz T, Klostermann C, Berna M, Schild HH, Sauerbruch T; et al., : Clinical characteristics and outcome of a cohort of 101 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2001;7(2):208—15.
6. Ikai I, Itai Y, Okita K, Omata M, Kojiro M, Kobayashi K, et al.,: Report of the 15th follow-up survey of primary liver cancer. *Hepatol Res* 2004;28(1):21-29.
7. Castellino DJ and Salem HH. : Natural anticoagulants and the liver. *J.Gastroenterol.Hepatol* 1997; 12(1):77–83.
8. Caldwell SH, Hoffman M, Lisman T, Macik BG, Northrup PG, Reddy KR, et al.,: Coagulation disorders and hemostasis in liver disease: pathophysiology and

- critical assessment of current management. *Hepatology* 2006; 44: 1039-1046.
9. DeLeve LD, Valla DC and Garcia-Tsao G.: Vascular disorders of the liver. *Hepatology* 2009; 49: 1729- 1764.
10. Sogaard KK, Astrup LB, Vilstrup H and Gronbaek H.: Portal vein thrombosis; risk factors, clinical presentation and treatment. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2007; 7:34.
11. Gaiani S, Bolondi L, Li Bassi S, Zironi G, Siringo S and Barbara L.: Prevalence of spontaneous hepatofugal portal flow in liver cirrhosis. Clinical and endoscopic correlation in 228 patients. *Gastroenterology* 1991; 100:160–167.
12. Garcia-Pagan JC and Valla DC. : Portal vein thrombosis: a predictable milestone in cirrhosis? *J Hepatol* 2009; 51:632–634.
13. Sogaard KK, Horváth-Puhó E, Grønbaek H, Jepsen P, Vilstrup H and Sørensen HT. : Risk of venous thromboembolism in patients with liver disease: a nationwide population-based case-control study. *Am JGastroenterol* 2009;104:96-101.
14. Michael J. Englesbe, James Kubus, Wajee Muhammad, Christopher J. Sonnenday et al., : Portal Vein Thrombosis and Survival in Patients with cirrhosis , american association for the study of liver diseases 2010;16:83 – 90 .
15. Manzanet G, Sanjuan F, Orbis P, Lopez R, Moya A, Juan M, et al., : Liver transplantation in patients with portal vein thrombosis. *Liver Transp* 2001;7:125-131.
16. Sarin SK and Agarwal SR. :Extrahepatic portal vein obstruction. *Semin Liver Dis.* 2002; 22:43–58.
17. Orlando G, De Luca L, Toti L, Zazza S, Angelico M, Casciani CU, et al., : Liver transplantation in the presence of portal vein thrombosis: report from a single center. *Transplantation Proceedings* 2004; 36:199-202.
18. Lu ZH, Shen F, Yan ZL, Li J, Yang JH, Zong M, et al.,: Treatment of portal vein tumor thrombus of hepatocellular carcinoma with percutaneous laser ablation. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2009; 135:783–789.
19. Korn Lertpipopmetha, Chirayu U Auewarakul : High Incidence of Hepatitis B Infection-Associated Cirrhosis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in the Southeast Asian Patients with Portal Vein Thrombosis.*BMC Gastroenterol* 2011; 11-66.
20. Yerdel MA, Gunson B, Mirza D, Karayalçin K, Olliff S, Buckels J, et al., : Portal vein thrombosis in adults undergoing liver transplantation: risk factors, screening, management, and outcome. *Transplantation* 2000; 69:1873–1881.
21. Amitarno L, Branacaccio V, Guardascione MA, Margaglione M, Sacco M, Martino R, et al ., : Portal vein thrombosis after variceal endoscopic sclerotherapy in cirrhotic patients : role of genetic

- thrombophilia. Endoscopy 2002; 34: 535-538
22. francoz C, Belghiti J, Vilgrain V, Sommacale D, Paradis V, Condat B, et al., : Splanchnic vein thrombosis in candidates for liver transplantation: usefulness of screening and anticoagulation. Gut 2005; 54:691–697.
23. Valla DC. : Thrombosis and anticoagulation in liver disease. Hepatology 2008; 47:1384-1393.
24. Pirisi M, Avellini C and Fabris C. : Portal vein thrombosis in hepatocellular carcinoma: age and sex distribution in an autopsy study. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 1998; 124:398-400.
25. Steven D leach, George H. Meire and Richard J Gusberg. : endoscopic sclerotherapy :arisk factor for splanchnic venous thrombosis. Journal of vascular surgery 1989; 10 (1): 9 – 13.
26. Kawasaki S, Henderson JM, Riepe SP, Brooks WS and Hertzler G. : Endoscopic variceal sclerosis does not increase the risk of portal venous thrombosis 1992; 102(1):206-15.
27. Petit P, Bret PM, Atri M, Hreno A, Casola G and Gianfelice D. : Splenic vein thrombosis after splenectomy : frequency and role of imaging .radiology 1994;190:65-8.
28. Akira Eguchi MD, Makoto Hashizume MD, Seigo Kitano MD, Kazuo Tanoue MD, Hiroya Wada MD, Keizo Sugimachi MD. : High Rate of Portal Thrombosis After Splenectomy in Patients With Esophageal Varices and Idiopathic Portal Hypertension Arch Surg. 1991; 126(6):752-755.
29. Wanless IR, Wong F, Blendis LM, Greig P, Heathcote EJ and Levy G. : Hepatic and portal vein thrombosis in cirrhosis : possible role in development of parenchymal extinction and portal hypertension Hepatology 1995; 21:1238-1247
30. Marshall J, Mark S, Susan L and Barbara Girard. : portal vein thrombosis in cirrhosis with variceal haemorrhage.journal of gastrointestinal surgery 1997; 1(2): 123-1
- Tropical medicine department and Radiology department -  
No financial support . -  
Portal vein thrombosis , cirrhosis, risk factors -  
This research was approved by institutional review board faculty of medicine zagazig university.