ABSTRACT

Many methods exist for bringing together similar or dissimilar structural materials, in terms of the joining technique utilized. Conventional mechanical joints, such as bolted, pinned or riveted are preferred due to their simplicity and the dis-assembly ability that they offer for joining metal or composite materials. However, when a mechanical joint is loaded, local damage is induced at the fastener holes due to stress concentrations. This fact leads to the structural degradation of a joint and jeopardizes the structural integrity of the assembly structure.
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1. Introduction

Many methods exist for bringing together similar or dissimilar structural materials, in terms of the joining technique utilized. Conventional mechanical joints, such as bolted, pinned or riveted are preferred due to their simplicity and the dis-assembly ability that they offer for joining metal or composite materials. However, when a mechanical joint is loaded, local damage is induced at the fastener holes due to stress concentrations. This fact leads to the structural degradation of a joint and jeopardizes the structural integrity of the assembly structure.

Threaded bolts are widely used in engineering to hold two or more parts together. The failure of the threaded bolts can lead to the catastrophic failure of the structures. Determination of ultimate bearing load and prediction of damage evolution for threaded bolt are critical to evaluate the integrity and safety of most engineering systems. The design of bolted joints is heavily based on experiments now a day’s most studies have been focused on the ultimate bearing strength of the bolted joints. Investigated the bearing strength and failure process for double lap bolted joints under a static tensile load. Showed that the bearing strength of the bolted joint is largely depending on the clamping force. Improved numerical analysis methods are needed to reduce the expensive and time-consuming experiments.

2. Objective and scope

2.1 Problem formulation

The inclusion of bolted joints in aircraft structures leads to regions of stress concentration. Composite materials are relatively brittle and typically offer limited stress relief through localized yielding compared to metals. This, combined with inadequate failure prediction capabilities, can lead to conservatively designed composite bolted joints which amount to severe structural weight penalties. Bonded joints offer higher
structural efficiency, but limit accessibility and can increase manufacturing and maintenance costs. Optimizing composite bolted joints using improved modeling tools thus continues to be a priority for airframe manufacturers. Countersunk fasteners are of particular interest for use in skin-structure joints where aerodynamic efficiency is important. Many of these joints are single-lap in nature. Single-lap joints result in significant stress concentrations and lower bearing strengths compared to double-lap joints, while countersunk joints clearly involve a highly complex stress distribution in the laminates. Thus countersunk, single-lap joints are of critical importance to the aircraft industry, but are also the most complex type to analyze. To date, there have been few detailed studies on this type of joint.

3. Mathematical Modeling

3.1 Sample Calculations for maximum shear stress For M8 and M10

Where Load (P) = 2000 N, A = Area for shear and tension, n = Number of bolt

A(for M8) = \( \pi/4 \times (d)^2 = \pi/4 \times (8)^2 \times n = 2000 / (\pi/4 \times (8)^2 \times 8) = 4.973 \text{ N/mm}^2 \)

A(for M10) = \( 2000 / (\pi/4 \times (10)^2 \times 8) = 3.183 \text{ N/mm}^2 \)

\( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 = (\pm)\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \tau_{xy}} = 0 \)

\( \tau_{\text{max}} = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)/2 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Calculation For M8 And M10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>for M8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 = (4.973/2) + \sqrt{(4.973/2)^2 + (4.973)^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_1 = 8.046 \text{ N/mm}^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_2 = 3.074 \text{ N/mm}^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_{\text{max}}(M8) = (8.046 - 3.074)/2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_{\text{max}} = 5.56 \text{ N/mm}^2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **for M10**                          |
| \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 = (3.183/2) + \sqrt{(3.183/2)^2 + (3.183)^2} \) |
| \( \sigma_1 = 5.148 \text{ N/mm}^2 \) |
| \( \sigma_2 = -1.968 \text{ N/mm}^2 \) |
| \( \tau_{\text{max}}(M10) = (5.148 - (-1.968))/2 \) |
| \( \tau_{\text{max}} = 3.558 \text{ N/mm}^2 \) |

4. Finite Element Analysis

The threaded fasteners plate M8 and M10 bolt is one of the most important fasteners used in the industry. A detailed analysis and significant research efforts have been devoted to the investigation of the bolt joint M8 & M10 circular bolt. The effect of variable parameters such as stress, strain and displacement are computed in the structural analysis under the static load condition.
**Defining Material Properties**

The CAD model of M8 bolt circular converted into STEP file. This model is imported into HYPERMESH workbench. The properties of carbon steel class are defined to software. The material properties of steel are as: \( E = 2.1 \times 10^5 \text{N/mm}^2 \), Poisson Ratio=0.3, Density=7.89x10^{-9} \text{ton/mm}^3.
4.6 Mesh Generation (Pre-Processing)

4.6.1 Geometry Cleanup
It is to mesh thereby forming good input for FEM, initially solid component developed then convert it into surface modeling with tolerance 0.01 global clean up tolerance. The model is then checked for non-manifold edges where there are two surfaces sharing an edge, which might indicate incorrect connectivity. Duplicate surfaces are also checked with clean-up tolerance 0.01 and then deleted.

4.6.2 Pre-Processing (Meshing)
Ones the geometry is cleaned up, all the surfaces are meshed with 2D trial element to form a closed mesh. 3D tetrahedral element created from the closed 2D mesh so as to form threaded fasteners plate component. The second order tetrahedral meshing approach is employed for the meshing of the fasteners plate geometry as shown in Figure 4.4

Figure 4.3 Meshing M8 circular bolted joints arrangement plate & Interface M8 circular bolted joints arrangement plate
Tetrahedral meshing produces high quality meshing for boundary representation of solid structural model. Since the tetrahedral is found to be the best meshing technique. Divide the whole model into several parts and mesh one by one in different mesh densities. Although meshing by this way can produce more elements than by painstaking meshing, the advantages of saving time and easy operation is excellent. In addition, you can trim the surface artificially and a new surface edge will produce which will be the element edge after meshing

1- Element size should be taken in such a way so that every geometry feature should be captured in mesh. 2 mm element size should be taken.
2- More the number of elements accuracy will increase but solution time will also increase so a proper combination between accuracy and solution time should be considered while choosing the element size.
3- When meshing is done, elements should satisfy certain element criteria for best results which is shown below.

Processing (or) Solution (applying boundary conditions)
To observe maximum stress produce into the M8 circular bolted joint plate, model is subjected to extreme condition (abuse load) and stress structural analysis is carried out using HYPERWORKS and RADIOSS solver. After pre-processing, Loads &boundary conditions are applied as shown in Figure 4.4

1- Boundary conditions is 2000N force is applied at top of M8 circular bolted joint plate.
2- Constraint (1-6) at lower side.
4.6.4 Post Processor

In this phase of solution the M8 circular bolted joint plate Model is used for finding out the values of stress during the various loading condition. Following parameters are predicted during post processing phase.

i. Stress
ii. Displacement
iii. Maximum shear stress

Firstly the component was designed for that elemental stress and displacement results are obtained from hyper works.

Figure 4.4 Finite Element Entities & Figure 4.7 Boundary conditions of M8 circular bolted joint plate

Figure 4.5 Hypermesh view and Stress counter M8 circular bolted joint plate

Figure 4.6 Maximum Stress in Bolt and Displacement counter in Bolt
Summary

i. The M8 circular bolted joint analyzed under load of 2000 N is applied.
ii. The Maximum shear stress observed 17.54Mpa.
iii. The Max Displacement Observed 0.758 mm
iv. The Maximum shear stress in bolt observed 4.68Mpa.

4.6.5 Optimization approach

Optimization is defined as the automatic process to make a system or component as good as possible based on an objective function and subject to certain design constraints. Optimization is the technique in which the better solution has been found out in order to have the better design by weight, cost and strength and rigidity criteria. By applying various boundary conditions and load on the fasteners plate. From the Figure we observed that for the existing fasteners plate assembly showing the elemental stress distribution. By observing the stress distribution we finding the low stress area where we can modify the geometry the low stress area where we can remove material that not bears the load. For removal of material we have to consider the manufacturing aspect and some functional constraint. The feasibility of tooling required for modified geometry should check at the time of material removal. Blue and gray Colour region describes dead zone or low stress region, elements from same region are not contribute to external load.

4.6.6 M8 rectangular bolted joint plate (Variant no-2)

The incremental approach for iterations is carried out on benchmark which is given as follows.

Figure 4.7 2D Geometry for bolted joints M8 rectangular arrangement (Benchmark or Variant 2)

Figure 4.13 Finite Element Entities-M8 rectangular bolts

a) Total length of the plate is 1150mm.

b) M8 rectangular bolt diameter is 19mm, 12mm and 8mm.

In the variant no 1 in which M8 bolted joint plate circular pattern is used. And variant no 2 in M8 bolted joint plate rectangular pattern is used. The component was designed for that elemental stress, displacement and maximum shear stress results.

Figure 4.8 Displacement counter M8 rectangular bolt plate and Stress counter M8 rectangular bolt plate
Summary

i. The M8 rectangular bolted joint analyzed under load of 2000 N is applied.
ii. The Maximum shear stress observed 10.60Mpa.
iii. The Max Displacement Observed 0.729 mm
iv. The Maximum shear stress in bolt observed 2.67Mpa.

4.6.7 M10 circular bolted joint plate (Variant no 3)
The incremental approach for iterations is carried out on benchmark which is given as follows.

Figure 4.10 2D Geometry for bolted joints M10 circular arrangement (Variant 3)

Figure 4.11 Stress counter M10 circular bolted joint plate
Summary

1. The M8 rectangular bolted joint analyzed under load of 2000 N is applied.
2. The Maximum shear stress observed 12.77Mpa.
3. The Max Displacement Observed 0.671 mm
4. The Maximum shear stress in bolt observed 2.99Mpa.

4.6.8 M10 rectangular bolted joint plate (Variant no-4)

The incremental approach for iterations is carried out on benchmark which is given as follows.

Figure 4.12 Displacement counter M10 circular bolt

Figure 4.23 Maximum Shear Stress in M10 circular bolts

Figure 4.13 2D Geometry for bolted joints M10 rectangular arrangement (Benchmark or Variant 4)

a) Total length of the plate is 1150mm.
b) M8 rectangular bolt diameter is 21mm, 14mm and 10mm.

Figure 4.14 Stress counter M10 rectangular bolted joint plate and Maximum Shear Stress in M10 rectangular bolt
Summary

1- The M8 rectangular bolted joint analyzed under load of 2000 N is applied.
2- The Maximum shear stress observed 11.54Mpa.
3- The Max Displacement Observed 0.715 mm
4- The Maximum shear stress in bolt observed 2.38Mpa.

4.6.9 Comparison Displacement counters variant 1 to 4

Figure 4.17 Displacement counters variant 1 to 4

4.6.10 Comparison Stress counters variant 1 to 4

Figure 4.18 Stress counters variant 1 to 4 in modal
4.6.11 Comparison Stress counters of bolt variant 1 to 4

![Stress counters of bolt variant 1 to 4](image)

**Figure 4.18** Stress counters of bolt variant 1 to 4

5. Result & conclusion

5.1 HYPERMESH Result for circular and rectangular arrangement nut bolt M8 and M10 size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant No</th>
<th>Displacement (mm)</th>
<th>Max Shear Stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Max Shear Stress in Bolt (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(Circular-M8)</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>17.54</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(Rectangular-M8)</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(Circular-M10)</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4(Rectangular-M10)</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.1** Hypermesh result

According to Hypermesh result we seen that for safe result the maximum shear stresses for M8 nut bolt for mathematical calculations are are 5.55Mpa and this arrangement gives 4.68,2.67Mpa so M8 arrangement arrangement is reduces vibration or displacement &M10 has 3.55 mpa safe max shear stress so 2.99,2.38 Mpa are safe.

5.2 Comparasion chart for all variant

![Comparison chart for all variant](image)

**Graph 5.1** Comparasion chart for all variant

Table 5.1. Hypermesh result for circular and rectangular arrangement nut bolt M8 and M10 size

i. Stress in fasteners for the Variant 1, Variant 2, Variant 3 and Variant 4 were found to be 4.68, 2.67, 2.99, and 2.38 (MPa) respectively.
ii. It is observed that, the value of Stress is lower for the square arrangement 2.38, 2.67 MPa. Higher size of bolt (M10 compared with M8) has registered a lower amount of stress.

iii. The variant with square arrangement (Variant No.2 & 4), showed uniform stress distribution as compared to circular arrangement.

iv. The stress level observed for Variant 4 with 6 number of bolt is about 2.38 MPa. This is the recommended variant among the alternatives.

5.3 Percentage reduction comparison rectangular array M8 and circular array M10 bolt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nut bolt Type</th>
<th>Displacement in mm</th>
<th>Maximum shear stress in (Map)</th>
<th>Maximum shear stress in (Map) in bolt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular-M8</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular-M8</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>17.54</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Reduction in M8</td>
<td>96.17</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>57.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2 comparison rectangular array M8 and circular array M10 bolt

The above table shows that

Graph 5.2 Comparison Graph rectangular array M8 and circular array M8 bolt
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